

Supreme Court of Misconsin

DIRECTOR OF STATE COURTS P.O. BOX 1688 MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Annette Kingsland Ziegler Chief Justice 16 East State Capitol Telephone 608-266-6828 Fax 608-267-0980 Hon. Audrey K. Skwierawski Director of State Courts

CONTACT: Stephen Kelley Public Information Officer communications@wicourts.gov (608) 261-6640

Wisconsin Supreme Court accepts four cases at November 12 conference

Madison, Wisconsin (December 4, 2024) – The Wisconsin Supreme Court recently voted to accept four cases, and the Court acted to deny review in a number of other cases. The case numbers, counties of origin and the issues presented in granted cases are listed below. More information about pending appellate cases can be found on the Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Access website. Published Court of Appeals opinions can be found here, and the status of pending Supreme Court cases can be found here.

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District III

Circuit Court: Dunn County, Judge Rod W. Smeltzer. Affirmed.

Long caption: State of Wisconsin, Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner, v. Jobert L. Molde,

Defendant-Appellant-Respondent.

Issue(s) presented:

1. What is the proper place for statistical evidence of the prevalence of false reports of abuse in sexual assault cases, and did the court of appeals wrongly conclude in Mader and now in this case in holding that statistical testimony putting the incidence of false reporting at or below 8 percent amounts to Haseltine testimony that the victim in the case is telling the truth?

2. Even if <u>Mader</u> correctly determined that such statistical testimony violates Haseltine², was this proposition settled law at the time of Mader's and Molde's 2019 trials, as <u>Mader</u> and the court here held below, so that trial counsel should have known to object to the testimony?

¹ <u>State v. Mader</u>, 2023 WI App 35, 408 Wis. 2d 632, 993 N.W.2d 761 (petition for review denied). The petition for review raised numerous ineffective assistance of counsel issues, but it did not raise the issue of whether the court of appeals was wrong in concluding that testimony from a therapist and interviewing officer about the frequency of false reporting did not violate the <u>Haseltine</u> rule.
² State v. Haseltine, 120 Wis. 2d 92, 352 N.W.2d 673 (Ct. App. 1984).

No. 2022AP1759

McDaniel v. Department of Corrections

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District I

Circuit Court: Milwaukee County, Judge Glenn H. Yamahiro. Reversed.

Long caption: Nicole McDaniel, David Smith, and Matthew Davis, Plaintiffs-Respondents-Petitioners, v. Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Defendant-Appellant-Respondent.

Issue(s) presented:

- 1. Did the Wisconsin Court of Appeals err by applying an unprecedented and excessively demanding pleading standard when deciding a motion for class certification, effectively treating it as a motion for summary judgment?
- 2. Did the Wisconsin Court of Appeals err when it ruled, contrary to many state and federal courts around the country, that the corrections officers cannot be compensated for pre-shift and post-shift activities such as security screenings?

No. 2023AP70-FT

Van Oudenhoven v. Wisconsin Department of Justice

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District III

Circuit Court: Winnebago County, Judge Teresa S. Basiliere. Affirmed.

Long caption: Scot Van Oudenhoven, Petitioner-Appellant-Petitioner, v. Wisconsin

Department of Justice, Respondent-Respondent-Respondent.

Issue(s) presented:

■ Whether an expungement under Wisconsin law qualifies as an "expungement" as that term is used in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33)(B)(ii).

Department of Corrections v. Hayes

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District I

Circuit Court: Milwaukee County, Judge Thomas J. McAdams. Reversed.

Long caption: State of Wisconsin ex rel. Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Division of Community Corrections, Petitioner-Respondent-Petitioner, v. Brian Hayes Administrator, Division of Hearings and Appeals, Respondent-Appellant, Keyo Sellers, Intervenor-Co-Appellant-Respondent.

Issue(s) presented:

- 1. Even if a sexual assault victim's out-of-court statements are found inadmissible, must the agency in a revocation proceeding still consider whether other unobjected-to, non-hearsay evidence supports a finding of the probation violations?
- 2. Does a probationer's conditional right to confront the victim under Morrissey v. Brewer, 408 U.S. 471, 487, 489-90 (19), allow an agency to consider out-of-court statements by a sexual assault victim?
- 3. Where an agency commits an error of law about its ability to consider certain evidence and thus fails to consider it, does a reviewing court properly ignore that error and simply consider the remaining evidence under certiorari review?

Review denied: The Supreme Court denied review in the following cases. As the state's law-developing court, the Supreme Court exercises its discretion to select for review only those cases that fit certain <u>statutory criteria</u> (see Wis. Stat. § 809.62). Except where indicated, these cases came to the Court via petition for review by the party who lost in the lower court:

Brown	County
--------------	--------

2023AP2339 Brown County v. J.D.T

Calumet County

2023AP1526-CRNM <u>State v. Cruz-Gonzalez</u>

2024AP32 <u>State v. M.P.</u>

Chippewa County

2023AP1029-CR State v. Plemon

Dane County

2023AP1537-CR 2023AP1538-CR

2023AP1535-CR <u>State v. Jones</u> 2023AP1536-CR

Fond du Lac County

2023AP1466 <u>State v. Rios Jaimes</u>

Kenosha County

2023AP1409-W Smith v. Benzel

Manitowoc County

2023AP908-CR State v. Tingler

Milwaukee County

2021AP1941-CR <u>State v. McMath</u>

2022AP515 <u>State v. Jones</u>

2022AP1141 Rider Hotel, LLC v. City of Milwaukee

2022AP2108-CR <u>State v. Burkes</u>

2023AP9-CR <u>State v. Pierce</u>

2023AP298-CR State v. Borges

2023AP967-CRNM State v. Moore

2023AP1125 <u>State v. Selders</u>

2023AP1129-CR <u>State v. Richmond</u>

2023AP1158-CR State v. White

2024AP767-W Green v. Mlodzik

Ozaukee County

2023AP2411 <u>City of Mequon v. Schumacher</u>

Racine County

2023AP105 Silverman v. Caledonia Board of Appeals

2023AP256-CR State v. Burnette

Shawano County

2024AP1823-W Knutson v. Lenzner

Sheboygan County

2023AP1079 <u>State v. Krueger</u>

Walworth County

2024AP1633-W Kinnaman v. Walworth County Circuit Court

Washington County

2024AP21 <u>Washington County v. T.R.Z.</u>

2024AP815-W <u>Leventhal v. Circuit Court for Ozaukee County</u>

Waukesha County

2022AP1713 <u>Koch v. Kirschbaum</u>

2023AP34 <u>Nielsen v. Wisconsin Memorial Park</u>

2023AP77-CR State v. Potrykus

2023AP107-CR State v. Skiba

2023AP108-CR

2023AP1707 <u>Village of Butler v. Hernandez</u>

2023AP1941-CR State v. Reiner

Waushara County

2023AP867-CRNM State v. Vigo

Winnebago County

2023AP867-CRNM <u>StateevvBVIigrogs</u> <u>State v. Vigo</u>