David A. Piehler Supreme Court Referee

April 23, 2024

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2024

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Mr. Samuel A. Christensen Clerk of Supreme Court 110 E. Main St., #215 Madison, WI 53703

Re:

OLR v. Babiak

Case No. 2024AP587-D

Dear Mr. Christensen:

Enclosed is a Scheduling Order issued today in this matter.

Singerely yours,

David A. Piehle

DAP:Enc.

XC (e-mail only):

Thomas J. Laitsch, Esq.

Davis J. Runde, Esq. Dean Dietrich, Esq.

STATE OF WISCONSIN

IN SUPREME COURT

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST JEROME J. BABIAK, ATTORNEY AT LAW.

CASE CODE: 30912

OFFICE OF LAWYER REGULATION,

CASE NO. 2024AP587-D

FILED

Complainant;

JEROME J. BABIAK,

MAY 0 2 2024

CLERK OF SUPPEME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Respondent.

SCHEDULING ORDER

A telephonic scheduling conference was held in this matter on April 23, 2024, pursuant to SCR 22.15. Attorney Thomas J. Laitsch appeared for the Complainant and Attorney Davis J. Runde appeared for the Respondent. Based on that conference, the following schedule is adopted:

- 1. The Respondent's counsel shall consult with the Respondent and expeditiously advise Complainant's counsel and the Referee whether the Respondent wishes to have an order from the Referee within three months of the filing of OLR's Complaint pursuant to SCR 22:20(7).
- 2. After the Respondent's Answer is filed, counsel for the parties shall confer about any stipulations that can be made to limit the issues in this matter, about the issues to be tried at hearing, and about the schedule for this matter, with the goal of developing a schedule to jointly propose to the Referee at the next scheduling conference.
- 3. A further telephonic scheduling conference shall be held on June 4, 2024, at 10:00 a.m. Attorney Laitsch shall initiate the call.
- 4. The parties are reminded of the following:
 - 4.1. Originals of all documents except exhibits shall be filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court by mail or personal delivery, with copies to the other party or party's counsel and the referee.
 - 4.2. Communications regarding scheduling and other routine administrative issues need not be filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court. All communications that deal with substantive issues shall be in writing, with the original filed with the clerk.

David A. Piehler

Referee