

Annette Kingsland Ziegler Chief Justice

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688 Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1688

(608) 266-1880

<u>Clerk@wicourts.gov</u> www.wicourts.gov/courts/offices/clerk.htm Samuel A. Christensen Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

SEPTEMBER 2024

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of September and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2024.

OPINIONS ISSUED BY THE COURT

The Supreme Court issued two opinion in September. Information about issued opinions, including the Court's disposition and the names of the authoring justices, can be found in the attached table.

	September 2024	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	2	2
Attorney disciplinary cases	1	1
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	0
Civil Cases	1	1
Criminal Cases	0	0

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW

A petition for review is a request made to the Supreme Court to review the decision made by the Court of Appeals. It is important to note that the Supreme Court has discretionary jurisdiction, which means that it only grants review in selected cases. During the month of September, a total of 37 new petitions for review were filed. In addition, the Supreme Court disposed of 61 petitions for review during the month, two of which were granted. At present, the Supreme Court has 182 petitions for review that are still pending.

	September 2024	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	37	37
Civil Cases	15	15
Criminal Cases	22	22
Petitions for Review dispositions	61	61
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	31 (2)	31 (2)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	30 (0)	30 (0)

PETITIONS FOR BYPASS

A petition for bypass is a request made by a party to the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding that is pending in the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court September consider a case appropriate for bypass if it meets one or more of the criteria for review. In such cases, the Supreme Court will ultimately decide to consider the matter, regardless of how the Court of Appeals September decide the issues. This type of petition may also be granted where there is a clear need to speed up the ultimate appellate decision. In September, the Supreme Court received four petitions for bypass and disposed of two petitions. The Supreme Court currently has 21 petitions for bypass pending.

	September 2024	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed	4	4
Civil Cases	4	4
Criminal Cases	0	0
Petitions for Bypass dispositions	2	2
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	2 (2)	2 (2)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	2 (0)

Requests for Certification

A request for certification arises when the Court of Appeals calls upon the Supreme Court to hear a case before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to do so. This type of request is typically made when the Court of Appeals believes that the case is of such significance that it is essential for the Supreme Court to consider it at the earliest opportunity.

The criteria for evaluating such a request are the same as those used when assessing a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court considers various factors, including the importance of the issues at stake, the likelihood that the case will return to the Supreme Court if it is not heard, and whether the case would benefit from the Supreme Court's guidance.

If the Supreme Court decides to grant the request for certification, it means that it will consider the case first, before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to hear it. If the Supreme Court declines the request, the case will proceed to the Court of Appeals in the usual way. During September, the Supreme Court received no requests for certification and disposed of no requests for certification. The Supreme Court currently has no requests for certification pending.

	September 2024	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed	0	0
Civil Cases	0	0
Criminal Cases	0	0
Requests for Certification dispositions	0	0
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions During the month, three matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and no such cases were reopened. The Supreme Court also received two petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order a lower court to take a certain action in a case. The Supreme Court currently has 19 regulatory matters and 11 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. No original action were filed.

	September 2024	Term to Date
Total number of Filings	5	5
(including reopened cases)	5	5
Attorney disciplinary cases	3	3
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	0
Bar Admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	2	2
Other (including Original Actions)	0	0

	September 2024	Term to Date
Total number of Dispositions by Order	10	10
(including reopened cases)	10	10
Attorney disciplinary cases	0	0
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	0
Bar Admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	9	9
Other (Including Original Actions)	1	1

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT OPINIONS ISSUED DURING SEPTEMBER 2024

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	Date
2024AP1179-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Paul E. Overson PER CURIAM. Attorney disciplinary proceedings. Attorney's license suspended.	09/20/2024
2024AP1872	Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. v. Wisconsin Elections Commission THE ORDER OF THE CIRCUIT COURT DENYING THE MOTION FOR TEMPORARY INJUNCTION IS AFFIRMED. Rebecca Grassl Bradley, J., filed a concurring opinion, in which Ziegler, C.J., joined.	09/27/2024