



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

July 22, 2013

To:

Hon. Guy D. Dutcher
Circuit Court Judge
Waushara County Courthouse
209 S Saint Marie St
Wautoma, WI 54982

Melissa M. Zamzow
Clerk of Circuit Court
Waushara County Courthouse
P.O. Box 507
Wautoma, WI 54982

Crystal A. Banse
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Kevin C. Potter
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Dennis Lee Hohol 436152
Redgranite Corr. Inst.
P.O. Box 925
Redgranite, WI 54970-0925

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2012AP846

Dennis Lee Hohol v. Michael Dittman, State of Wisconsin and
Attorney Lloyd Carter (L.C. # 2011CV257)

Before Lundsten, P.J., Sherman and Blanchard, JJ.

Dennis Hohol, pro se, appeals an order quashing his petition for a writ of certiorari to review the denial of parole. Upon our review of the parties' briefs and the record, we conclude at conference that the order should be summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2011-12).¹

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2011-12 version unless otherwise noted.

Hohol's brief on appeal is so lacking in organization and substance that his arguments are incomprehensible. He cites numerous cases that do not support the propositions for which they are cited. We will not abandon our neutrality by making Hohol's arguments for him. *See State v. Gulrud*, 140 Wis. 2d 721,730, 412 N.W.2d 139 (Ct. App. 1987). Accordingly, we affirm the circuit court on the basis that Hohol's argument is insufficiently developed.

We note that, even if we were to address the merits, the circuit court unquestionably appropriately dismissed the action. The petition was not filed within forty-five days of the decision he challenges as required by WIS. STAT. § 893.735(2). It was not filed in the county where Hohol was convicted of the offense for which he is currently incarcerated as required by WIS. STAT. § 801.50(5), and the petition improperly named the warden of the correctional facility and the assistant district attorney who prosecuted Hohol as defendants rather than the final decisionmaker, the chairperson of the parole commission. *See* §§ 301.03(3), 304.01; WIS. ADMIN. CODE §§ PAC 1.03(5), 1.07 (Dec. 2011).

IT IS ORDERED that the order is summarily affirmed under WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21(1).

Diane M. Fremgen
Clerk of Court of Appeals