

## OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 East Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

## **DISTRICT II**

May 22, 2013

*To*:

Hon. Dale L. English Circuit Court Judge Fond du Lac County Courthouse 160 South Macy Street Fond du Lac, WI 54935

Ramona Geib Clerk of Circuit Court Fond du Lac County Courthouse 160 South Macy Street Fond du Lac, WI 54935 Shane Gale Ryan M. Peterson Rausch, Sturm, Israel, Enerson & Hornik LLC 250 N. Sunny Slope Road, Suite 300 Brookfield, WI 53005-4824

Douglas A. Shireman N5988 Alexander Lane Fond du Lac, WI 54937

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2012AP377

Citibank South Dakota NA v. Douglas A. Shireman (L.C. # 2011CV169)

Before Brown, C.J., Reilly and Gundrum, JJ.

Douglas A. Shireman appeals from a circuit court order granting summary judgment to Citibank South Dakota N.A. (Citibank) and denying his motions to dismiss. Based on our review of the briefs and record, we conclude at conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* Wis. STAT. Rule 809.21 (2011-12). We affirm the order of the circuit court.

In May 2010, Citibank's attorneys mailed Shireman a demand letter in an attempt to collect on a credit card debt. Shireman responded with a letter disputing the debt. Citibank's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2011-12 version.

attorneys then mailed him a verification of the debt which contained the name and address of the original creditor, the original account number, and the amount allegedly owed.

In February 2011, Citibank filed suit against Shireman for the amount allegedly owed on his credit card account. Shireman filed an answer, denying the allegations. Citibank subsequently moved for summary judgment, and Shireman responded with motions to dismiss.

Following a hearing on the matter, the circuit court granted summary judgment to Citibank and denied Shireman's motions to dismiss. This appeal follows.

We review de novo the grant or denial of summary judgment, employing the same methodology as the circuit court. *Green Spring Farms v. Kersten*, 136 Wis. 2d 304, 314-15, 401 N.W.2d 816 (1987). Summary judgment is proper when there are no genuine issues of material fact and one party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. WIS. STAT. § 802.08(2).

On appeal, Shireman contends that the circuit court erred in granting summary judgment to Citibank and denying his motions to dismiss. Specifically, he asserts that the verification mailed to him by Citibank's attorneys was insufficient under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (2006).

We conclude that the verification mailed by Citibank's attorneys to Shireman was sufficient under the FDCPA.<sup>2</sup> As noted, the verification contained the name and address of the

As one court has explained, "verification of a debt involves nothing more than the debt collector confirming in writing that the amount being demanded is what the creditor is claiming is owed" and "is only intended to eliminate ... the problem of debt collectors dunning the wrong person or attempting to collect debts which the consumer has already paid." *Chaudhry v. Gallerizzo*, 174 F.3d 394, 406 (4th Cir. 1999) (citations and quotations omitted).

No. 2012AP377

original creditor, the original account number, and the amount allegedly owed. Reviewing this

information, Shireman could not have reasonably questioned what account this was for, who the

money was owed to, and how much was being demanded. Accordingly, the circuit court was

correct in granting summary judgment to Citibank and denying Shireman's motions to dismiss.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the order of the circuit court is summarily affirmed, pursuant to

WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Court of Appeals

3