

## OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. Box 1688

## MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

## DISTRICT IV

May 8, 2013

*To*:

Hon. Maryann Sumi Circuit Court Judge Dane County Courthouse 215 South Hamilton, Br 2, Rm 7105 Madison, WI 53703

Carlo Esqueda Clerk of Circuit Court Room 1000 215 South Hamilton Madison, WI 53703

Chris Freeman Dane Co. District Attys. Office 215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 3000 Madison, WI 53703-3297 Faun M. Moses Asst. State Public Defender P. O. Box 7862 Madison, WI 53707-7892

Gregory M. Weber Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

Jamaine K. Martin 560109 New Lisbon Corr. Inst. P.O. Box 4000 New Lisbon, WI 53950-4000

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2012AP1262-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Jamaine K. Martin (L.C. #2010CF49)

Before Lundsten, P.J., Higginbotham and Sherman, JJ.

Attorney Faun Moses, appointed counsel for Jamaine Martin, has filed a no-merit report pursuant to Wis. Stat. Rule 809.32 (2011-12)<sup>1</sup> and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel provided Martin with a copy of the report, and both counsel and this court advised him of his right to file a response. Martin has not responded. We conclude that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* Wis. Stat. Rule 809.21. After our independent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2011-12 version unless otherwise noted.

review of the record, we conclude there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

Martin pled no contest to one felony count of strangulation and suffocation and two misdemeanor battery counts. He was placed on probation for all counts. Probation was revoked and sentencing occurred on the misdemeanor counts. Later, probation was revoked on the felony count and the court sentenced Martin to eighteen months of initial confinement and thirty months of extended supervision.

An appeal from sentencing after revocation of probation does not bring before us the original judgment of conviction unless the appellant shows good cause to extend the time to appeal from that judgment under Wis. Stat. Rule 809.82(2). *See State v. Drake*, 184 Wis. 2d 396, 399, 515 N.W.2d 923 (Ct. App. 1994). No good cause appears in the record to extend Martin's time to appeal from the original judgment of conviction or the first sentencing after revocation, and therefore only issues related to the most recent sentencing are before us now.

The no-merit report addresses whether the sentence is within the legal maximum and whether the court erroneously exercised its sentencing discretion. The standards for the circuit court and this court on sentencing issues are well-established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶17-51, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. In this case, the court considered appropriate factors such as the repeated nature of similar conduct since this conviction, Martin's apparent difficulty with anger management, the need to protect the community, and Martin's otherwise good character. The court did not consider improper factors, and reached a reasonable result. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Moses is relieved of further representation of Martin in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Court of Appeals