



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

August 15, 2024

To:

Hon. Troy D. Cross
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Julie Kayartz
Clerk of Circuit Court
Columbia County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Jessica J. Hale
Electronic Notice

Amy A. Michalek
610 West Carroll Street
Portage, WI 53901

Jeffrey M. Schreiber
Electronic Notice

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2023AP699

Jeffrey M. Schreiber v. State (L.C. # 1995FA7)

Before Blanchard, Graham, and Nashold, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Jeffrey Schreiber, pro se, appeals an order regarding funds that were seized from him through an administrative lien to pay a child support arrearage. Based upon our review of the briefs and record, we conclude at conference that this appeal is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2021-22).¹ We affirm.

The most recent proceedings in this divorce case began in October 2022 with a letter by Schreiber to the Columbia County Clerk of Courts objecting to an administrative lien that the

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2021-22 version unless otherwise noted.

county child support agency placed on some of his funds. The circuit court held a hearing and then issued an “order confirming account seizure” in December 2022. The order noted Schreiber’s objection, but concluded that “no action would be taken because [Schreiber] did not provide any legal justification for the objection.”

In a letter received by the circuit court in January 2023, Schreiber further explained his objections. The court held another hearing, and then issued an “order releasing funds” in February 2023. That order directed that the seized funds be released to Schreiber’s former wife and to the State. Schreiber appeals that order.

The lien was imposed under the authority of WIS. STAT. § 49.854(5), which addresses levies against financial accounts based on delinquent support payments. When the person alleged to owe support requests a hearing, the hearing “shall be limited to a review of whether the account holder owes the amount of support certified and whether any alternative payment arrangement offered by the department or the county child support agency is reasonable.” Sec. 49.854(5)(f).

Schreiber’s briefing on appeal is not well developed. His main argument appears to be that he does not owe the claimed amount of support because the arrearage was created by the actions of various state actors in an earlier criminal case against him. In short, he asserts that these actions led to him being confined, unable to work, and therefore unable to pay support. However, Schreiber does not cite any legal authority that supports this attempt to shift responsibility to pay support, and we reject the argument on that ground.

In addition, Schreiber makes cursory assertions that there should have been a change of circuit court venue, that the circuit judge was biased against him, and that there are material errors in the transcripts of the hearings. None of these assertions are supported by facts or legal

argument in a way that merits the result that Schreiber seeks. If Schreiber intends to make other arguments, they are rejected based on a lack of development.

IT IS ORDERED that the order appealed from is summarily affirmed under WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals