



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

April 5, 2023

To:

Hon. Jeffrey S. Froehlich
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Jefren E. Olsen
Electronic Notice

LeAnne Karls
Clerk of Circuit Court
Calumet County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Adam J. Christel, #482546
New Lisbon Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 2000
New Lisbon, WI 53950-2000

Winn S. Collins
Electronic Notice

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2021AP2061-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Adam J. Christel (L.C. #2020CF58)

Before Gundrum, P.J., Neubauer and Lazar, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Attorney Jefren Olsen, as appointed counsel for Adam Christel, filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2019-20)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel provided Christel with a copy of the report, and both counsel and this court advised him of his right to file a response. Christel has not responded. We conclude that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. After our independent

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the (2019-20) version unless otherwise noted.

review of the record, we conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

Christel pled no contest to one count of exposing a child to harmful descriptions and one count of exposing a child to harmful materials. The court imposed consecutive sentences of one year of initial confinement and two years of extended supervision.

The no-merit report addresses whether Christel's pleas were entered knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently. The plea colloquy sufficiently complied with the requirements of *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906, and WIS. STAT. § 971.08 relating to the nature of the charge, the rights Christel was waiving, and other matters. The record shows no other ground to withdraw the plea. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

The no-merit report addresses Christel's sentences. As explained in the no-merit report, the sentences are within the legal maximums. As to discretionary issues, the standards for the circuit court and this court are well-established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶¶17-51, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. In this case, the court considered appropriate factors, did not consider improper factors, and reached a reasonable result. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Olsen is relieved of further representation of Christel in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals