



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

March 9, 2023

To:

Hon. Gregory J. Potter
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Kimberly Stimac
Clerk of Circuit Court
Wood County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Winn S. Collins
Electronic Notice

Kathilynne Grotelueschen
Electronic Notice

Nathan T. Oswald
Electronic Notice

Shaun E. Hodge
5914 5th Avenue
Rudolph, WI 54475

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2021AP2191-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Shaun E. Hodge (L.C. # 2019CF800)

Before Kloppenburg, Fitzpatrick, and Graham, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Attorney Kathilynne Grotelueschen, appointed counsel for Shaun Hodge, has filed a no-merit report seeking to withdraw as appellate counsel pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2021-22)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Hodge was sent a copy of the report and has not filed a response. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2021-22 version unless otherwise noted.

record, we conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal. Accordingly, we affirm.

Hodge pled guilty to operating a motor vehicle with a prohibited alcohol concentration as a fourth offense. The circuit court withheld sentence and imposed two years of probation with four months of conditional jail time.

The no-merit report addresses whether the circuit court erred by rejecting Hodge's collateral challenge to one of his prior intoxicated driving convictions; whether Hodge's guilty plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary; and whether Hodge could challenge the court's sentencing decision. We are satisfied that the report properly analyzes each of these issues as having no arguable merit.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Kathilynne Grotelueschen is relieved of any further representation of Shaun Hodge in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals