

## OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

## DISTRICT I

January 4, 2023

*To*:

Hon. Carolina Stark William G. Davidson Circuit Court Judge Electronic Notice

Electronic Notice

George Christenson Anne Berleman Kearney
Electronic Notice

Clerk of Circuit Court

Milwaukee County Appeals Processing

Division

Electronic Notice

Electronic Police

Gabriel Griffin 2019005920 Milwaukee County Jail

949 N. 9th St.

Milwaukee, WI 53233

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2020AP490 State of Wisconsin ex rel. Gabriel Griffin v. Earnell R. Lucas

(L.C. #2020CV830)

Before Brash, C.J., Donald, P.J., and White, J.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Gabriel Griffin, *pro se*, appeals from an order of the circuit court that denied his petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Based upon our review of the briefs and record, we conclude at conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2019-20).<sup>1</sup> The order is summarily affirmed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2019-20 version unless otherwise noted.

In April 2018, federal and local officials working jointly obtained a search warrant against Griffin; the search resulted in federal criminal charges. Griffin filed a petition for habeas corpus in Milwaukee County Circuit Court, challenging the sufficiency and reliability of the search warrant application. The circuit court denied the petition for three reasons: (1) Griffin failed to comply with the production requirement of WIS. STAT. § 782.04(4);<sup>2</sup> (2) Griffin failed to have his petition verified—*i.e.*, notarized; and (3) Griffin "fail[ed] to include any factual basis to show that there was no other adequate remedy available in the law."

On appeal, Griffin argues only that the circuit court should not have "procedurally defaulted" his petition for lack of verification because the Milwaukee County Jail had failed to "provide timely notary services," hampering his access to the courts. However, even overlooking the production and verification defects in Griffin's petition, denial was still appropriate. A petitioner seeking a writ of habeas corpus "must show that there was no other adequate remedy available in the law." *See State ex rel. Haas v. McReynolds*, 2002 WI 43, ¶12, 252 Wis. 2d 133, 643 N.W.2d 771. Griffin has not established, either in the circuit court or on appeal, that he lacked other remedies. *See, e.g., United States v. Woodfork*, 999 F.3d 511, 516 (7th Cir. 2021) (reviewing the denial of motion to suppress based on alleged misrepresentation in the search warrant application); *State v. Silverstein*, 2017 WI App 64, ¶12-26, 378 Wis. 2d 42, 902 N.W.2d 550 (reviewing the denial of a motion to suppress based on allegedly insufficient probable cause in the search warrant application).

Therefore,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "If the imprisonment is by virtue of any order or process a copy thereof must be annexed[.]" WIS. STAT. § 782.04(4).

IT IS ORDERED that the order is summarily affirmed. See Wis. Stat. Rule 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Court of Appeals