



OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
**WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS**

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215  
P.O. BOX 1688  
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688  
Telephone (608) 266-1880  
TTY: (800) 947-3529  
Facsimile (608) 267-0640  
Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

**DISTRICT IV**

November 17, 2022

To:

Hon. Troy D. Cross  
Circuit Court Judge  
Electronic Notice

Susan K. Raimer  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
Columbia County Courthouse  
Electronic Notice

Thomas J. Erickson  
Electronic Notice

Brenda L. Yaskal  
P.O. Box 638  
400 De Witt St, Ste 101  
Portage, WI 53901-0638

Jonathan William Randles  
Juneau County Jail  
200 Oak St.  
Mauston, WI 53948

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

---

2021AP1827-CRNM      State of Wisconsin v. Jonathan William Randles  
(L.C. #2019CM409)

Before Nashold, J.<sup>1</sup>

**Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).**

Attorney Thomas Erickson, appointed counsel for Jonathan Randles, has filed a no-merit report seeking to withdraw as appellate counsel pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Randles was sent a copy of the report and has not filed a response. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the record, I conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal. Accordingly, I affirm.

---

<sup>1</sup> This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2)(f) (2019-20). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2019-20 version unless otherwise noted.

Randles pled no contest to the misdemeanor crime of sexual intercourse with a minor who has attained the age of sixteen. The circuit court withheld sentence and imposed two years of probation. The court also ordered that Randles register as a sex offender.

The no-merit report addresses whether Randles' no-contest plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary. I agree with counsel that there is no arguable merit to this issue. The circuit court's plea colloquy, including the court's references to the plea questionnaire and waiver of rights form, complied with the requirements of WIS. STAT. § 971.08 and *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906, relating to the nature of the charge, the constitutional rights that Randles was waiving, and other matters.

The no-merit report next addresses whether Randles could challenge the circuit court's exercise of its sentencing discretion. I agree with counsel that there is no arguable merit to this issue. The court considered the required sentencing factors along with other relevant factors, and the court did not rely on any inappropriate factors. *See State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶¶37-49, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. The circuit court also considered the relevant factors for ordering sex offender registration when, as here, registration is not mandatory but is permitted in the court's discretion. *See* WIS. STAT. § 973.048(1m)(a) and (3).

This court's review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Thomas Erickson is relieved of any further representation of Jonathan Randles in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

---

*Sheila T. Reiff*  
*Clerk of Court of Appeals*