

OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

September 15, 2022

To:

Hon. Raymond S. Huber Circuit Court Judge Electronic Notice

Terrie J. Tews Clerk of Circuit Court Waupaca County Courthouse Electronic Notice

Winn S. Collins Electronic Notice Veronica Fay Isherwood Electronic Notice

Mark A. Schoenfeldt Electronic Notice

Dyllon R. Lazic 693399 Racine Correctional Inst. P.O. Box 900

Sturtevant, WI 53177-0900

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2021AP1145-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Dyllon R. Lazic (L.C. # 2019CF75)

Before Fitzpatrick, Graham, and Nashold, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Attorney Mark Schoenfeldt, as appointed counsel for Dyllon Lazic, filed a no-merit report pursuant to Wis. Stat. Rule 809.32 (2019-20)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel provided Lazic with a copy of the report, and both counsel and this court advised him of his right to file a response. Lazic has not responded. We conclude that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* Wis. Stat. Rule 809.21. After our independent

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2019-20 version unless otherwise noted.

review of the record, we conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

Lazic pled no contest to one count of third-degree sexual assault and one count of possession of child pornography. The court imposed a sentence on the sexual assault count of five years of initial confinement and two years of extended supervision, and on the child pornography count the court withheld sentence and place Lazic on ten years of probation.

The no-merit report addresses whether Lazic's pleas were entered knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently. The plea colloquy sufficiently complied with the requirements of *State v*. *Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906, and WIS. STAT. § 971.08 relating to the nature of the charge, the rights Lazic was waiving, the factual basis, and other matters. The record shows no other ground to withdraw the plea. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

The no-merit report addresses Lazic's sentences. The sentence and probation term are within the legal maximums. As to discretionary issues, the standards for the circuit court and this court are well-established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶¶17-51, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. In this case, the court considered appropriate factors, did not consider improper factors, and reached a reasonable result. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

No. 2021AP1145-CRNM

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Schoenfeldt is relieved of further representation of Lazic in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Court of Appeals