

## OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 East Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688 Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1688

> Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

## **DISTRICT II**

March 2, 2022

*To*:

Hon. Laura F. Lau Circuit Court Judge Electronic Notice

Monica Paz Clerk of Circuit Court Waukesha County Electronic Notice Susan Lee Opper Electronic Notice

Becky Nicole Van Dam Electronic Notice

Nicholas R. Meli 2425 W. Briar Lake Way, #1B Oak Creek, WI 53154

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2020AP2021-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Nicholas R. Meli (L.C. #2019CF413)

Before Kornblum, J.<sup>1</sup>

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Nicholas R. Meli appeals from a judgment convicting him of disorderly conduct contrary to WIS. STAT. § 947.01(1), unlawful use of a telephone contrary to § 947.012(1)(c), and unlawful use of a computerized communication system contrary to § 947.0125(2)(e). Meli's appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 and *Anders v. California*,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2)(d) (2019-20). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2019-20 version unless otherwise noted.

386 U.S. 738 (1967). Meli received a copy of the report and was advised of his right to file a response. He has not done so. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the record as mandated by *Anders* and RULE 809.32, we summarily affirm the judgment because there are no issues that would have arguable merit for appeal. WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

The no-merit report addresses the following possible appellate issues: (1) whether Meli's no contest pleas were knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently entered; and (2) whether the circuit court misused its sentencing discretion. After reviewing the record, we conclude that counsel's no-merit report properly analyzes these issues and correctly determines that these issues lack arguable merit.

Based on the record before this court, any challenge to the entry of Meli's no contest pleas would lack arguable merit for appeal. *See State v. Hoppe*, 2009 WI 41, ¶18, 317 Wis. 2d 161, 765 N.W.2d 794 (plea colloquy requirements); *see State v. Howell*, 2007 WI 75, ¶7, 301 Wis. 2d 350, 734 N.W.2d 48 (allegations required to seek plea withdrawal). A "no contest plea waives all nonjurisdictional defects and defenses." *State v. Lasky*, 2002 WI App 126, ¶11, 254 Wis. 2d 789, 646 N.W.2d 53.

The circuit court withheld sentence and imposed three two-year terms of probation and thirty days in jail. The circuit court engaged in a proper exercise of sentencing discretion after considering various sentencing factors. *State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶76, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197 (we review the sentence for a misuse of discretion); *State v. Ziegler*, 2006 WI App 49, ¶23, 289 Wis. 2d 594, 712 N.W.2d 76 (sentencing objectives and factors discussed).

In addition to the issues discussed above, we have independently reviewed the record.

Our independent review of the record did not disclose any arguably meritorious issue for appeal.

No. 2020AP2021-CRNM

Because we conclude that there would be no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on

appeal, we accept the no-merit report, affirm the judgment of conviction and relieve Attorney

Becky Nicole Van Dam of further representation of Meli in this matter.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the circuit court is summarily affirmed pursuant to

WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Becky Nicole Van Dam is relieved of further

representation of Nicholas R. Meli in this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Court of Appeals

3