



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT III

December 7, 2021

To:

Hon. Vincent R. Biskupic
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Barb Bocik
Clerk of Circuit Court
Outagamie County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Tristan Breedlove
Electronic Notice

Winn S. Collins
Electronic Notice

Charles M. Stertz
Electronic Notice

Trevor J. Teela 670093
Columbia Correctional Center
P.O. Box 900
Portage, WI 53901-0900

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2019AP1104-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Trevor J. Teela (L. C. No. 2017CF797)

Before Stark, P.J., Hruz and Gill, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Counsel for Trevor Teela has filed a no-merit report concluding there is no basis to challenge Teela's convictions for second-degree intentional homicide and for manufacture/delivery of THC, with use of a dangerous weapon, as a party to a crime. Teela was advised of his right to respond and has failed to respond. Upon our independent review of the record as mandated by *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), we conclude there is no merit

to any issue that could be raised on appeal, and summarily affirm. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2019-20).¹

A criminal complaint charged Teela with one count of first-degree intentional homicide, and one count of manufacture/delivery of THC, with use of a dangerous weapon and as a party to a crime. The charges stemmed from a marijuana deal worth \$140 gone bad. Teela was identified as the shooter. Teela subsequently gave a statement stating that he brought his gun to the drug deal because he thought it looked “sketch,” and when he did not receive payment for the drugs, a fight ensued during which he shot the victim in the chest. Teela also stated that after the shooting he went back to the residence he shared with his parents and hid the handgun underneath the basement stairwell. Teela stated that: (1) before putting the gun away, he removed the round from the chamber and placed it back into the magazine; (2) there should be seven rounds left in the magazine; and (3) he had additional 9mm ammunition under his bed. A search warrant was executed on Teela’s residence, which located a black semi-automatic 9mm Smith and Wesson handgun underneath the basement stairwell and marijuana in the basement under a desk.

A jury trial ensued. Day one of the trial included jury selection, opening statements, and other “housekeeping” issues. On day two of the trial, Teela entered a no-contest plea to an amended charge of second-degree intentional homicide, as well as to the THC count as charged. The State was free to argue its sentence recommendation, and the defense was required to request at least twenty years’ initial confinement. The circuit court imposed twenty-five years’

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2019-20 version unless otherwise noted.

initial confinement and twenty years' extended supervision on the homicide count, and one year of initial confinement and two years' extended supervision on the THC charge, consecutively.

The no-merit report addresses whether the pleas were knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered; whether there was a sufficient factual basis for the pleas; and whether the circuit court erroneously exercised its sentencing discretion.² This court is satisfied that the no-merit report properly analyzes the issues raised, and we will not further address them. Our independent review of the record fails to disclose other potential issues for appeal.

Upon the foregoing, therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment is summarily affirmed. WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Kelsey Loshaw is relieved of further representing Trevor Teela in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals

² Although not addressed in the no-merit report, our independent review of the record discloses no issues of arguable merit regarding the validity of the search warrant. In addition, Teela's father gave consent to do a "sweep of the house" to see if Teela was home and law enforcement found marijuana in plain view. Teela's mother gave consent to search her cell phone, and they found a text to his mother from Teela saying, "U better not let them search the crib."