

OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT III

September 21, 2021

To:

Hon. Michael H. Bloom Circuit Court Judge Electronic Notice

Brenda Behrle Clerk of Circuit Court Oneida County Courthouse Electronic Notice

Winn S. Collins Electronic Notice

Daniel Goggin II Electronic Notice

Michael W. Schiek Electronic Notice

Clark C. Krueger 329166 Prairie Du Chien Correctional Inst.

P.O. Box 9900

Prairie du Chien, WI 53821

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2019AP603-CRNM

State of Wisconsin v. Clark C. Krueger (L. C. No. 2018CF139)

Before Stark, P.J., Hruz and Gill, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Clark Krueger appeals from an arson conviction. Attorney Daniel Goggin II has filed a no-merit report seeking to withdraw as appellate counsel. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2019-20).¹ The no-merit report sets forth the procedural history of the case and addresses Krueger's plea and sentence. Krueger was advised of his right to respond to the no-merit report, but he has not done so. Having independently reviewed the entire record as mandated by *Anders*

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2019-20 version unless otherwise noted.

v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967), we conclude that counsel shall be allowed to withdraw and the judgment of conviction will be summarily affirmed. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

The State alleged that Krueger set fire to a rental property shortly after vacating it and provided a false statement to police about the fire. Krueger pled no contest to the arson charge. In exchange, the State moved to dismiss and read in a count of obstructing an officer, with both sides free to argue at sentencing. The circuit court accepted Krueger's plea after reviewing his signed plea questionnaire and waiver of rights form and conducting a standard plea colloquy. Both a presentence investigation report and an alternate presentence investigation report were prepared. After discussing proper sentencing factors, including the gravity of the offense, the need to protect the public, and the character of the offender, the court sentenced Krueger to eight years' initial confinement and seven years' extended supervision.

We agree with counsel's description, analysis and conclusion that any challenge to the plea and sentence would lack arguable merit. Our independent review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal. We conclude that any further appellate proceedings would be wholly frivolous within the meaning of *Anders*.

Upon the foregoing,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment is summarily affirmed. WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

No. 2019AP603-CRNM

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that attorney Daniel Goggin II is relieved of further representation of Clark Krueger in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Court of Appeals