

## OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 East Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

## DISTRICT IV

June 24, 2021

*To*:

Hon. William Andrew Sharp Circuit Court Judge 181 W. Seminary St. Richland Center, WI 53581

Sheila Olson Clerk of Circuit Court Suite 115 400 Courthouse Square Viroqua, WI 54665

Timothy J. Gaskell District Attorney Courthouse Annex 400 Courthouse Square, Ste. 200 Viroqua, WI 54665 Jeremy Newman Assistant State Public Defender P.O. Box 7862 Madison, WI 53707-7862

Criminal Appeals Unit Department of Justice P.O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

Shayne A. Swadley 624549 Wisconsin Secure Program Facility P.O. Box 1000 Boscobel, WI 53805-1000

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2020AP94-CRNM

State of Wisconsin v. Shayne A. Swadley (L.C. # 2016CF58)

Before Fitzpatrick, P.J., Blanchard, and Graham, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Attorney Catherine Malchow, appointed counsel for Shayne Swadley, has filed a nomerit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2019-20)<sup>1</sup> and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel provided Swadley with a copy of the report, and both counsel and this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2019-20 version unless otherwise noted.

court advised him of his right to file a response.<sup>2</sup> Swadley has not responded. We conclude that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. After our independent review of the record, we conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

Swadley pled guilty to one count of attempted first-degree intentional homicide. The court imposed a sentence of thirty years of initial confinement and ten years of extended supervision.

Swadley filed a postconviction motion to withdraw his plea due to ineffective assistance of counsel on the ground that his trial counsel incorrectly informed him that he would be eligible to petition for sentence adjustment. The circuit court held an evidentiary hearing and denied the motion based on a finding that trial counsel's testimony was more credible than Swadley's as to whether counsel provided the correct information.

The no-merit report concludes that it would be frivolous to appeal as to this issue because there is no basis to argue that the credibility finding was clearly erroneous. We agree.

The no-merit report also addresses whether Swadley's plea was otherwise entered knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently. The plea colloquy sufficiently complied with the requirements of *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906, and WIS. STAT. § 971.08 relating to the nature of the charge, the rights Swadley was waiving, and other matters. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Attorney Jeremy Newman was later appointed as successor counsel and currently represents the appellant in this matter.

No. 2020AP94-CRNM

The no-merit report addresses whether the sentence is within the legal maximum, and

correctly explains that it is. As to whether the sentencing court erroneously exercised its

discretion, the standards for the circuit court and this court on sentencing issues are well-

established and need not be repeated here. See State v. Gallion, 2004 WI 42, ¶17-51, 270 Wis.

2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. In this case, the court considered appropriate factors, did not consider

improper factors, and reached a reasonable result. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction and order denying postconviction relief

are summarily affirmed. See Wis. Stat. Rule 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Newman is relieved of further representation

of Swadley in this matter. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of A

Clerk of Court of Appeals

3