



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

April 14, 2021

To:

Hon. Phillip A. Koss
Circuit Court Judge
Walworth County Courthouse
P.O. Box 1001
Elkhorn, WI 53121

Zeke Wiedenfeld
District Attorney
P.O. Box 1001
Elkhorn, WI 53121

Kristina Secord
Clerk of Circuit Court
Walworth County Courthouse
P.O. Box 1001
Elkhorn, WI 53121-1001

Criminal Appeals Unit
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Gregory Bates
Bates Law Offices
P.O. Box 70
Kenosha, WI 53141-0070

Seth H. Meyer, #686816
McNaughton Corr. Center
8500 Rainbow Rd.
Lake Tomahawk, WI 54539-9558

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2020AP1925-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Seth H. Meyer (L.C. #2018CF562)

Before Neubauer, C.J., Gundrum and Davis, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Seth H. Meyer appeals from a judgment convicting him of second-degree sexual assault of a child. His appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2019-20)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Meyer received a copy of the report,

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2019-20 version.

was advised of his right to file a response, and has elected not to do so. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the record, we conclude that the judgment may be summarily affirmed because there are no issues with arguable merit for appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Meyer was convicted following a guilty plea to second-degree sexual assault of a child. He was accused of having oral sex with a fourteen-year-old boy after asking the boy to show him his penis.² The circuit court sentenced Meyer to four years of initial confinement and six years of extended supervision. This no-merit appeal follows.

The no-merit report addresses potential issues of (1) whether Meyer’s plea was validly entered, (2) whether the circuit court properly exercised its discretion at sentencing, and (3) whether any other issues were preserved. This court is satisfied that the no-merit report correctly analyzes the issues it raises as without merit, and this court will not discuss them further.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal. Accordingly, this court accepts the no-merit report, affirms the judgment of conviction, and discharges appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Seth H. Meyer further in this appeal.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the circuit court is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

² An additional charge of causing a child to expose his or her genitals was dismissed and read in.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Gregory Bates is relieved of further representation of Seth H. Meyer in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals