



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

September 17, 2020

To:

Hon. William E. Hanrahan
Circuit Court Judge
Dane County Courthouse
215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 4103
Madison, WI 53703

Carlo Esqueda
Clerk of Circuit Court
Dane County Courthouse
215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 1000
Madison, WI 53703

Peter Anderson
2031 Riverside Dr. Ste., A
Beloit, WI 53511

Lexi Keyes
Assistant District Attorney
215 S. Hamilton St., Ste. 3000
Madison, WI 53703

Criminal Appeals Unit
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

William J. Drake II
c/o Probation Office Angela Fischer
7017 Raywood Rd
Madison, WI 53713

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2019AP684-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. William J. Drake, II (L.C. # 2018CM1699)

Before Blanchard, J.¹

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Attorney Peter Anderson, appointed counsel for William Drake, has filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2017-18) and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel provided Drake with a copy of the report, and both counsel and this court

¹ This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2)(f) (2017-18). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2017-18 version unless otherwise noted.

advised him of his right to file a response. Drake has not responded. I conclude that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. After my independent review of the record, I conclude there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

Drake pled guilty to one count of possession of drug paraphernalia. The court imposed a sentence of twenty days in jail.

The no-merit report addresses whether Drake's plea was entered knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently. The plea colloquy sufficiently complied with the requirements of *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906, and WIS. STAT. § 971.08 relating to the nature of the charge, the rights Drake was waiving, and other matters. The record shows no other ground to withdraw the plea. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

The no-merit report addresses whether the sentence is within the legal maximum and whether the court erroneously exercised its sentencing discretion. The sentence is within the legal maximum of thirty days of imprisonment. *See* WIS. STAT. § 961.573(1). As to sentencing discretion, the standards for the circuit court and this court on sentencing issues are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶¶17-51, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. In this case, the court considered appropriate factors, did not consider improper factors, and reached a reasonable result. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

My review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Anderson is relieved of further representation of Drake in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals