



OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
**WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS**

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215  
P.O. BOX 1688  
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880  
TTY: (800) 947-3529  
Facsimile (608) 267-0640  
Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

**DISTRICT III**

September 9, 2020

To:

Hon. Timothy A. Hinkfuss  
Circuit Court Judge  
Brown County Courthouse  
P.O. Box 23600  
Green Bay, WI 54305-3600

John VanderLeest  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
Brown County Courthouse  
P.O. Box 23600  
Green Bay, WI 54305-3600

David L. Lasee  
District Attorney  
P.O. Box 23600  
Green Bay, WI 54305-3600

Jeremy Newman  
Assistant State Public Defender  
P.O. Box 7862  
Madison, WI 53707-7862

Criminal Appeals Unit  
Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 7857  
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Daniel Wayne Knight 509841  
Dodge Correctional Inst.  
P.O. Box 700  
Waupun, WI 53963-0700

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

---

2019AP324-CRNM      State of Wisconsin v. Daniel Wayne Knight (L. C. No. 2017CF62)

Before Stark, P.J., Hruz and Seidl, JJ.

**Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).**

Attorney Jeremy Newman, appointed counsel for Daniel Knight, has filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2017-18)<sup>1</sup> and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738

---

<sup>1</sup> All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2017-18 version unless otherwise noted.

(1967). Counsel provided Knight with a copy of the report, and both counsel and this court advised him of his right to file a response. Knight has not responded. We conclude that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. After our independent review of the record, we conclude there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

Knight pled guilty to three counts of possession of child pornography. The circuit court imposed concurrent sentences on each count of ten years of initial confinement and five years of extended supervision.

The no-merit report addresses whether Knight's pleas were entered knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently. The plea colloquy sufficiently complied with the requirements of *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906, and WIS. STAT. § 971.08 relating to the nature of the charges, the rights Knight was waiving, and other matters. The record shows no other grounds to withdraw the plea. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

The no-merit report also addresses whether the sentences are within the legal maximum and whether the circuit court erroneously exercised its sentencing discretion. As explained in the no-merit report, the sentences are within the legal maximum. As to sentencing discretion, the standards for the circuit court and this court on sentencing issues are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶¶17-51, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. In this case, the court considered appropriate factors, did not consider improper factors, and reached a reasonable result. Accordingly, there is no arguable merit to this issue.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that attorney Jeremy Newman is relieved of further representation of Daniel Knight in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

---

*Sheila T. Reiff*  
*Clerk of Court of Appeals*