



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT I

September 9, 2020

To:

Hon. Glenn H. Yamahiro
Circuit Court Judge
901 N. 9th St., Br. 34
Milwaukee, WI 53233-1425

John Barrett
Clerk of Circuit Court
Room 114
821 W. State Street
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Kaitlin A. Lamb
Assistant State Public Defender
735 N. Water St., Ste. 912
Milwaukee, WI 53202

Elizabeth A. Longo
Assistant District Attorney
District Attorney's Office
821 W. State. St. - Ste. 405
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Criminal Appeals Unit
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Steven E. Holland 563608
Waupun Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 351
Waupun, WI 53963-0351

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2020AP711-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Steven E. Holland
(L.C. # 2018CF3710)

Before Brash, P.J., Dugan and White, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Steven E. Holland appeals from a judgment of conviction for first-degree recklessly endangering safety. His appellate counsel has filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT.

RULE 809.32 (2017-18),¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Holland received a copy of the report, was advised of his right to file a response, and has elected not to do so. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the record, as mandated by *Anders*, the judgment is summarily affirmed because we conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Holland was seen driving a stolen car, and he engaged police in a high speed chase when police attempted to stop the car. Holland ran from the car after he crashed it on a curb. He was charged with vehicle operator eluding police causing property damage and first-degree recklessly endangering safety. Pursuant to a plea agreement, which required the prosecutor to recommend a prison sentence of five and one-half years concurrent to a revocation sentence and to dismiss and read-in the eluding charge, Holland entered a guilty plea to first-degree recklessly endangering safety. Holland was sentenced to two and one-half years of initial confinement and three years of extended supervision to be served consecutive to a revocation sentence.

The no-merit report addresses the potential issues of whether Holland's plea was knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently entered, whether the sentence was the result of an erroneous exercise of discretion, and whether the sentence was unduly harsh or excessive. This court is satisfied that the no-merit report properly analyzes the issues it raises as being without merit, and this court will not discuss them further.

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2017-18 version unless otherwise noted.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential meritorious issues for appeal. Accordingly, this court accepts the no-merit report, affirms the conviction, and discharges appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Holland further in this appeal.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Kaitlin A. Lamb is relieved from further representing Steven E. Holland in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals