

OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 East Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

August 27, 2020

To:

Hon. William E. Hanrahan Circuit Court Judge Dane County Courthouse 215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 4103 Madison, WI 53703

Carlo Esqueda Clerk of Circuit Court Dane County Courthouse 215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 1000 Madison, WI 53703

Philip J. Brehm 23 W. Milwaukee St., Ste. 200 Janesville, WI 53548

William L. Brown Assistant District Attorney 215 S. Hamilton St., Ste. 3000 Madison, WI 53703 Criminal Appeals Unit Department of Justice P.O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

Joseph N. Ehmann Regional Attorney Manager Wisconsin State Public Defenders P.O. Box 7862 Madison, WI 53707-7862

Savan S. Newsome 647170 Dodge Correctional Inst. P.O. Box 700 Waupun, WI 53963-0700

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2019AP100-CRNM

State of Wisconsin v. Savan S. Newsome (L.C. # 2017CF1175)

Before Fitzpatrick, P.J., Graham, and Nashold, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Attorney Lane Fitzgerald, prior appointed counsel for Savan Newsome, previously filed a no-merit report seeking to withdraw as appellate counsel. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2017-

No. 2019AP100-CRNM

18) and Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967). Based on a request by this court, the

State Public Defender's Office appointed successor counsel for Newsome. This court directed

Attorney Philip Brehm, successor appointed counsel for Newsome, to file a response addressing

an issue of potentially arguable merit that this court identified. Counsel has now filed a response

stating that Newsome has informed counsel that he no longer wishes to pursue this appeal.

Counsel has filed a notice of voluntary dismissal of this appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the no-merit report is rejected.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this appeal is dismissed pursuant to the appellant's

notice of voluntary dismissal.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Court of Appeals

2