



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT I

May 24, 2019

To:

Hon. Mark A. Sanders
Circuit Court Judge
Safety Building, Rm. 620
821 W. State St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233-1427

John Barrett
Clerk of Circuit Court
Room 114
821 W. State Street
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Dustin C. Haskell
Assistant State Public Defender
735 N. Water St., Rm. 912
Milwaukee, WI 53203

Karen A. Loebel
Deputy District Attorney
821 W. State St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Criminal Appeals Unit
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Java D. Wright 345057
Taycheedah Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 3100
Fond du Lac, WI 54936-3100

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2018AP2150-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Java D. Wright (L.C. # 2017CF2564)

Before Kessler, P.J., Brash and Dugan, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Java D. Wright appeals from a judgment of conviction for first-degree reckless homicide and arson. Her appellate counsel has filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2017-18),¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Wright received a copy of the

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2017-18 version unless otherwise noted.

report, was advised of her right to file a response, and has elected not to do so. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the record, the judgment is summarily affirmed because there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

A fight with her boyfriend left Wright locked out of the residence she shared with her boyfriend and a seventy-two-year-old man. When she looked into the home through a hole from a missing door knob, her boyfriend poked a metal object into her eye. This enraged Wright. She picked up a hammer, broke out windows on the home, poured gasoline into the home, and set the curtains on fire. Fire quickly consumed the home. The seventy-two-year-old resident of the home died as a result of smoke inhalation.

Wright entered a guilty plea. Under the plea agreement, the prosecution agreed to recommend a lengthy time of initial confinement and no less than fifteen years of extended supervision; the prosecution would take no position on whether the sentences should be imposed consecutive or concurrent; and the defense was free to argue for an appropriate sentence. The prosecutor complied with the plea agreement at sentencing. Wright was sentenced to concurrent terms of twenty-two years' initial confinement and fifteen years' extended supervision.

The no-merit report addresses the potential issues of whether Wright's plea was knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently entered and whether the sentence was the result of an erroneous exercise of discretion or unduly harsh or excessive. This court is satisfied that the no-merit report properly analyzes the issues it raises as without merit, and this court will not discuss them further.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal. Accordingly, this court accepts the no-merit report, affirms the conviction, and discharges appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Wright further in this appeal.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Dustin C. Haskell is relieved from further representing Java D. Wright in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals