



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

January 16, 2019

To:

Hon. Daniel J. Bissett
Circuit Court Judge
Winnebago County Courthouse
P.O. Box 2808
Oshkosh, WI 54903

Karla Z. Keckhaver
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Melissa M. Pingel
Clerk of Circuit Court
Winnebago County Courthouse
P.O. Box 2808
Oshkosh, WI 54903

Larry L. George, #088022
Oshkosh Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 3310
Oshkosh, WI 54903-3310

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2018AP480

State of Wisconsin ex rel. Larry L. George v. Brian Hayes,
Administrator, Division of Hearings and Appeals
(L.C. #1999CV451)

Before Neubauer, C.J., Reilly, P.J., and Gundrum, J.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Larry L. George, pro se, appeals from an order denying George's challenge to his sentence computation. George asks that we vacate part of an order entered on October 5, 2012. Based upon our review of the briefs and record, we conclude at conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2015-16).¹ We summarily

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version unless otherwise noted.

affirm the circuit court's decision denying George's motion to vacate the October 5, 2012 order.

This appeal relates to three felony convictions in two different counties and a 1999 certiorari action George filed seeking review of his parole revocation. At its core, all of George's numerous appeals challenge one computation of his sentence. *See State ex rel. George v. Schwarz*, Nos. 2012AP2320, 2013AP969, unpublished slip op. (WI App Feb. 19, 2014), for a full factual recitation.

In *State ex rel. George v. Schwarz*, we addressed George's challenge to the October 5, 2012 order. *Id.*, ¶15. We have also addressed George's challenge to his sentence computation in other appeals to this court.² As we have already decided the legal issue George challenges, it is the law of the case and we follow it in all subsequent proceedings. *See State v. Casteel*, 2001 WI App 188, ¶15, 247 Wis. 2d 451, 634 N.W.2d 338. This appeal is foreclosed by *State ex rel. George v. Hayes*, No. 2014AP1974, unpublished op. and order (WI App Mar. 25, 2015).

² *See generally George v. Schwarz*, 2001 WI App 72, 242 Wis. 2d 450, 626 N.W.2d 57 (reversing circuit court's order reducing George's reincarceration to nineteen months and reinstating the reincarceration period of eight years and eighteen days, subsequently reduced to seven years and seven days); *State v. George*, No. 2016AP525-CRAC, unpublished slip op. (WI App Jan. 24, 2017) (affirming orders denying George's motion for additional sentence credit or sentence modification based on new factors, explaining that the Brown County court imposed a consecutive sentence to the Winnebago County sentence, and reiterating that George was still serving his Winnebago County sentence while out on parole); *State v. George*, No. 2014AP1723-CR, unpublished op. and order (WI App Sept. 2, 2015) (affirming orders denying postconviction motion to amend judgment of conviction to indicate sentence was "concurrent" rather than "consecutive" based on finding that George had served the sentence in the case prior to the motion); *State ex rel. George v. Hayes*, No. 2014AP1974, unpublished op. and order (WI App Mar. 25, 2015) (affirming order concluding Winnebago County court was without authority to compel recalculation of sentence based on limited scope of certiorari action and that the matter has been fully litigated); *State ex rel. George v. Schwarz*, Nos. 2012AP2320, 2013AP969, unpublished slip op. (WI App Feb. 19, 2014) (concluding parties did not have agreement that all sentences would be concurrent and explaining that Winnebago County court ordered sentences concurrent to each other, but "Winnebago county circuit court has no authority over Brown county").

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the order of the circuit court is summarily affirmed, pursuant to
WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals