

OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 East Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

January 9, 2019

To:

Hon. Bruce E. Schroeder Circuit Court Judge Kenosha County Courthouse 912 56th Street Kenosha, WI 53140

Rebecca Matoska-Mentink Clerk of Circuit Court Kenosha County Courthouse 912 56th Street Kenosha, WI 53140

Carl W. Chesshir Chesshir Law Office S101 W34417 Hwy LO, Ste. B Eagle, WI 53119 Michael D. Graveley District Attorney 912 56th Street Kenosha, WI 53140-3747

Criminal Appeals Unit Department of Justice P.O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

William R. Powers 204695 Jackson Correctional Inst. P.O. Box 233 Black River Falls, WI 54615-0233

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2018AP1072-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. William R. Powers (L.C. #2017CM288) 2018AP1073-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. William R. Powers (L.C. #2017CF708)

Before Neubauer, C.J., Reilly, P.J., and Hagedorn, J.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

In these consolidated cases, William R. Powers appeals from judgments convicting him of retail theft and operating a motor vehicle while intoxicated as a sixth offense. Powers'

appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2015-16)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Powers received a copy of the report, was advised of his right to file a response, and has elected not to do so. After reviewing the records and counsel's report, we conclude that there are no issues with arguable merit for appeal. Therefore, we summarily affirm the judgments. WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Powers was convicted following guilty pleas to retail theft and operating while intoxicated as a sixth offense. The charges stemmed from two separate incidents that were resolved together in the circuit court. The court imposed an aggregate sentence of twenty-one months of initial confinement and three years of extended supervision. It also ordered a fine of \$1200. These no-merit appeals follow.

The no-merit report addresses whether Powers' guilty pleas were knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently entered. The records show that the circuit court engaged in a colloquy with Powers that satisfied the applicable requirements of WIS. STAT. § 971.08(1) and *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906.² In addition, a signed plea questionnaire and waiver of rights form was entered into the records, along with attachments detailing the elements of the offenses. We agree with counsel that a challenge to the entry of Powers' guilty pleas would lack arguable merit.

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version.

The circuit court neglected to mention the minimum term of imprisonment (six months) for the operating while intoxicated charge. This omission does not present an issue of arguable merit, however, as the information is included in the signed plea questionnaire and waiver of rights form, which Powers acknowledged he had read and understood.

Nos. 2018AP1072-CRNM 2018AP1073-CRNM

The no-merit report also addresses whether the circuit court properly exercised its

discretion at sentencing. The records reveal that the court's sentencing decision had a "rational

and explainable basis." State v. Gallion, 2004 WI 42, ¶76, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197

(citation omitted). Moreover, Powers' ability to challenge the period of initial confinement

imposed is limited by the fact that his counsel requested it. See State v. Magnuson, 220 Wis. 2d

468, 471-72, 583 N.W.2d 843 (Ct. App. 1998) (defendants may not attack their sentence on

appeal when the circuit court imposes the sentence requested by them). We agree with counsel

that a challenge to Powers' sentence would lack arguable merit.

Our independent review of the records does not disclose any potentially meritorious issue

for appeal. Because we conclude that there would be no arguable merit to any issue that could

be raised on appeal, we accept the no-merit report and relieve Attorney Carl W. Chesshir of

further representation in these matters.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgments of the circuit court are summarily affirmed pursuant

to Wis. Stat. Rule 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Carl W. Chesshir is relieved of further

representation of Powers in these matters.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Court of Appeals

3