



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT I

October 3, 2018

To:

Hon. M. Joseph Donald
Circuit Court Judge
Felony Division
821 W. State St., Rm. 506
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Hon. Daniel L. Konkol
Circuit Court Judge
Safety Building Courtroom, # 502
821 W. State Street
Milwaukee, WI 53233-1427

John Barrett
Clerk of Circuit Court
Room 114
821 W. State Street
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Karen A. Loebel
Asst. District Attorney
821 W. State St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233

John T. Wasielewski
Wasielewski & Erickson
1429 N. Prospect Ave., Ste. 211
Milwaukee, WI 53202

Criminal Appeals Unit
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Isaiah D. Jordan 623466
Jackson Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 233
Black River Falls, WI 54615-0233

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2017AP501-CRNM	State of Wisconsin v. Isaiah D. Jordan (L.C. # 2013CF4730)
2017AP502-CRNM	State of Wisconsin v. Isaiah D. Jordan (L.C. # 2013CF4801)
2017AP504-CRNM	State of Wisconsin v. Isaiah D. Jordan (L.C. # 2014CF1147)

Before Kessler, P.J., Brennan and Brash, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in Wis. Stat. Rule 809.23(3).

Isaiah D. Jordan appeals judgments convicting him of second-degree sexual assault, attempted kidnapping, and fourth-degree sexual assault, and an order denying his postconviction

motion. Attorney John T. Wasielewski was appointed to represent Jordan for postconviction and appellate proceedings. He filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2015-16),¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Jordan received a copy of the report and responded. Counsel then filed a supplemental no-merit report. After considering the no-merit reports and the response, and after conducting an independent review of the records, we conclude that there are no issues of arguable merit that could be raised on appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. Therefore, we affirm.

The no-merit report first addresses whether there would be arguable merit to a claim that Jordan did not knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily enter his guilty pleas. The circuit court conducted a very thorough colloquy with Jordan that complied with WIS. STAT. § 971.08 and *State v. Bangert*, 131 Wis. 2d 246, 266-72, 389 N.W.2d 12 (1986). Moreover, Jordan discussed information pertinent to entering pleas with his counsel prior to the plea hearing, reviewed plea questionnaire and waiver of rights forms with his counsel, and signed them. *See State v. Moederndorfer*, 141 Wis. 2d 823, 827-28, 416 N.W.2d 627 (Ct. App. 1987) (the court may rely on a plea questionnaire and waiver of rights form in assessing the defendant's knowledge about the rights he or she is waiving). There would be no arguable merit to an appellate challenge to his pleas.

The no-merit report next addresses whether there would be arguable merit to a claim that the circuit court misused its discretion when it sentenced Jordan. The circuit court sentenced Jordan to seven years of imprisonment for attempted kidnapping, with five years of initial

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version unless otherwise noted.

confinement and two years of extended supervision. The circuit court sentenced Jordan to twelve years of imprisonment for second-degree sexual assault, with nine years of initial confinement and three years of extended supervision. The circuit court also sentenced Jordan to six months for fourth-degree sexual assault. All sentences were imposed consecutively to each other and any other sentences Jordan is serving. The records establish that the circuit court carefully considered the general objectives of sentencing and applied the sentencing factors in light of the facts of this case. *See State v. Ziegler*, 2006 WI App 49, ¶23, 289 Wis. 2d 594, 712 N.W.2d 76 (the court must identify the factors it considered and explain how those factors fit the objectives and influenced its sentencing decision). The circuit court explained the reasons for its sentences on the record and reached a result that was both reasoned and reasonable. There would be no arguable merit to a challenge to the sentences.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.² Accordingly, we accept the no-merit reports, affirm the convictions and discharge appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Jordan further in these appeals.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

² In his response, Jordan argues that his trial counsel should have attempted to strike more jurors for cause during *voir dire*, should have directed a personal investigator to interview a victim, and should have argued that Jordan became more aggressive, and by implication committed the crimes, due to a medication Jordan was taking. These claims all relate to Milwaukee County case No. 2013CF5322, which was resolved by jury trial and is not before this court on appeal in the context of these consolidated appeals. Jordan appealed his conviction in Milwaukee County case No. 2013CF5322, and that appeal has been decided. *See State v. Jordan*, No. 2017AP503-CR, unpublished op. and order (WI App Jan. 24, 2018), *summarily rev'd.*, unpublished order (WI July 10, 2018). Because Milwaukee County case No. 2013CF5322 is not before us, there would be no arguable merit to a challenge to the trial court proceedings in the context of this appeal.

IT IS ORDERED that the judgments of conviction and the order denying postconviction relief are summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney John T. Wasielewski is relieved from further representing Isaiah D. Jordan in these appeals. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals