



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

September 26, 2018

To:

Hon. Todd K. Martens
Circuit Court Judge
P.O. Box 1986
West Bend, WI 53095

Kaitlin A. Lamb
Assistant State Public Defender
735 N. Water St., Ste. 912
Milwaukee, WI 53202

Theresa Russell
Clerk of Circuit Court
Washington County Courthouse
P.O. Box 1986
West Bend, WI 53095-1986

Criminal Appeals Unit
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Mark Bensen
District Attorney
Washington County
P.O. Box 1986
West Bend, WI 53095-1986

Andrew T. Gonring 625482
Oshkosh Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 3310
Oshkosh, WI 54903-3310

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2018AP925-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Andrew T. Gonring (L.C. #2014CF76)

Before Neubauer, C.J., Gundrum and Hagedorn, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Andrew T. Gonring appeals from a judgment sentencing him after revocation of his probation. Gonring's appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2015-16)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Gonring received a copy of

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version.

the report, was advised of his right to file a response, and has elected not to do so. After reviewing the record and counsel's report, we conclude that there are no issues with arguable merit for appeal. Therefore, we summarily affirm the judgment. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Gonring was convicted following a guilty plea to third-degree sexual assault. The circuit court withheld sentence and placed Gonring on probation for four years. His probation was later revoked due to various rules violations, and he appeared before the court for sentencing after revocation. There, the court imposed a sentence of three and one-half years of initial confinement and five years of extended supervision. This no-merit appeal follows.

The no-merit report addresses whether the circuit court properly exercised its discretion in imposing its sentence after revocation. The circuit court's duty at the sentencing after revocation is the same as its duty at the original sentencing. *State v. Wegner*, 2000 WI App 231, ¶7 n.1, 239 Wis. 2d 96, 619 N.W.2d 289. Where, as in the present case, the same judge presides at both proceedings, we will consider the original sentencing reasons to be implicitly adopted at the sentencing after revocation. *State v. Reynolds*, 2002 WI App 15, ¶8, 249 Wis. 2d 798, 643 N.W.2d 165 (2001).

Here, the record reveals that the circuit court's sentencing decision had a "rational and explainable basis." *State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶76, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197 (citation omitted). The court considered the gravity of the offense, Gonring's character, and the need to protect the public. *State v. Ziegler*, 2006 WI App 49, ¶23, 289 Wis. 2d 594, 712 N.W.2d 76. The sentence imposed, which was within the range authorized by law, does not "shock public sentiment and violate the judgment of reasonable people concerning what is right and proper under the circumstances." *Ocanas v. State*, 70 Wis. 2d 179, 185, 233 N.W.2d 457

(1975). We agree with counsel that a challenge to Gonring's sentence would lack arguable merit.

Our independent review of the record does not disclose any potentially meritorious issue for appeal.² Because we conclude that there would be no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal, we accept the no-merit report and relieve Attorney Kaitlin A. Lamb of further representation in this matter.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the circuit court is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Kaitlin A. Lamb is relieved of further representation of Gonring in this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals

² Any challenge to the underlying conviction is outside the scope of this appeal. *See State ex rel. Marth v. Smith*, 224 Wis. 2d 578, 582 n.5, 592 N.W.2d 307 (Ct. App. 1999). Review of probation revocation is by way of certiorari review to the court of conviction. *Id.* at 583.