

OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

September 5, 2018

To:

Hon. Dennis D. Costello

Reserve Judge

Rebecca Matoska-Mentink

Clerk of Circuit Court

Kenosha County Courthouse

912 56th Street

Kenosha, WI 53140

Michael D. Graveley

District Attorney 912 56th Street

Kenosha, WI 53140-3747

Leonard D. Kachinsky

Kachinsky Law Offices

832 Neff Court

Neenah, WI 54956-0310

Criminal Appeals Unit Department of Justice

P.O. Box 7857

Madison, WI 53707-7857

Ernest Quintero

1926 54th Street, Upper Kenosha, WI 53140

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2017AP575-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Ernest Quintero (L.C. # 2015CF1113) 2017AP576-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Ernest Quintero (L.C. # 2015CF1162)

Before Neubauer, C.J., Reilly, P.J., and Gundrum, J.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

In these consolidated appeals, Ernest Quintero appeals from judgments convicting him of misdemeanor battery contrary to WIS. STAT. § 940.19(1) (2015-16)¹ and felony bail jumping contrary to WIS. STAT. § 946.49(1)(b). Quintero's appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Quintero

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version unless otherwise noted.

received a copy of the report and was advised of his right to file a response. He has not done so.

Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the record as mandated by

Anders and RULE 809.32, we summarily affirm the judgments because there are no issues that

would have arguable merit for appeal. WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

The circuit court sentenced Quintero to consecutive nine-month terms. The court also

imposed two DNA surcharges, one for the misdemeanor conviction and one for the felony

conviction.²

The no-merit report addresses the following possible appellate issues: (1) whether

Quintero's no contests pleas were knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently entered and

(2) whether the circuit court misused its sentencing discretion or erred with regard to sentence

credit. After reviewing the record, we conclude that counsel's no-merit report properly analyzes

these issues and correctly concludes that these issues are without arguable merit.

In addition to the issues discussed above, we have independently reviewed the record.

Our independent review of the record did not disclose any potentially meritorious issue for

appeal. Because we conclude that there would be no arguable merit to any issue that could be

raised on appeal, we accept the no-merit report, affirm the judgments of conviction and relieve

Leonard Kachinsky of further representation of Quintero in this matter.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

² The DNA surcharges for the misdemeanor and felony convictions were appropriately imposed.

WIS. STAT. § 973.046(1r)(a) and (b) (2015-16).

2

Nos. 2017AP575-CRNM 2017AP576-CRNM

IT IS ORDERED that the judgments of the circuit court are summarily affirmed pursuant to Wis. Stat. Rule 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Leonard Kachinsky is relieved of further representation of Ernest Quintero in this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Court of Appeals