



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

April 4, 2018

To:

Hon. Daniel J. Bissett
Circuit Court Judge
PO Box 2808
Oshkosh, WI 54903

Megan Sanders-Drazen
Asst. State Public Defender
P.O. Box 7862
Madison, WI 53707-7862

Sara Henke
Register in Probate
Winnebago County Courthouse
P.O. Box 2808
Oshkosh, WI 54903-2808

S. W. 303940
Taycheedah Corr. Inst.
P.O. Box 3100
Fond du Lac, WI 54936-3100

James A. Kearney
James A. Kearney Law Office, S.C.
675 Deerwood Drive
Neenah, WI 54956-1629

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2017AP2385-NM

In re the matter of the mental commitment of S.W.: Winnebago
County v. S.W. (L.C. #2017ME247)

Before Hagedorn, J.¹

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

S.W., a mentally ill Wisconsin State Prison System inmate, appeals orders involuntarily committing her to the Winnebago County Department of Health Services for a six-month term of outpatient mental health treatment at the Wisconsin Resource Center and for the involuntary

¹ This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2)(d) (2015-16). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version unless otherwise noted.

administration of medication, should her treating physician deem it necessary. Her appellate counsel has filed a no-merit report under WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). S.W. was furnished a copy of the report but has submitted no response. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the record, we are satisfied that the appeal has no merit. We summarily affirm the orders. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

The no-merit report considers whether the evidence was sufficient to support the orders of commitment and for involuntary medication and treatment and whether the trial court properly denied S.W.'s apparent request to represent herself at trial. Based upon our independent review of the record, we conclude that counsel's analysis of those issues is correct and that a challenge to any of them would lack arguable merit. Our independent review of the record discloses no other potential basis for a challenge to the commitment. Any further appellate proceedings would be without arguable merit within the meaning of *Anders* and WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the orders for outpatient commitment and for involuntary medication are summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Megan Sanders-Drazen is relieved from further representing S.W. in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals