



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

March 21, 2018

To:

Hon. Gary L. Bendix
Circuit Court Judge
Manitowoc County Courthouse
1010 S. 8th St.
Manitowoc, WI 54220

Lynn Zigmunt
Clerk of Circuit Court
Manitowoc County Courthouse
1010 S. 8th St.
Manitowoc, WI 54220-5380

Daniel Goggin II
Goggin & Goggin
P.O. Box 646
Neenah, WI 54957-0646

Jacalyn C. LaBre
District Attorney
1010 S. 8th St.
Manitowoc, WI 54220

Jodeen J. Cooklin
606 N. 4th Ave.
Sturgeon Bay, WI 54235

Criminal Appeals Unit
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2017AP2188-CRNM	State of Wisconsin v. Jodeen J. Cooklin (L.C. #2016CM50)
2017AP2189-CRNM	State of Wisconsin v. Jodeen J. Cooklin (L.C. #2016CM126)

Before Neubauer, C.J.¹

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

In these consolidated cases, Jodeen Cooklin appeals judgments entered upon her no-contest pleas convicting her of violating a domestic abuse injunction. Pursuant to a plea bargain,

¹ This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2)(f) (2015-16). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version unless otherwise noted.

charges of unlawful phone use/threatening harm, stalking, computer message using threats and obscenities, and violating a harassment restraining order were dismissed and read in at sentencing. The parties jointly agreed to recommend a withheld sentence, eighteen months' probation, thirty days' jail, imposed and stayed, no contact with the victims, and abstention from social media. The court withheld sentence and ordered eighteen months' probation. Cooklin soon violated the injunction in similar fashion and her probation was revoked. The court imposed five months' jail time on each of the two underlying cases, to be served consecutively.

Appellate counsel has filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Cooklin was served with a copy of the report and advised of her right to file a response. She has elected not to. The no-merit report discusses the revocation of probation and her sentencing after revocation.²

Based upon our independent review of the record, we conclude that counsel's analysis of those issues is correct and that an appeal on either of them would lack arguable merit. Our independent review of the record discloses no other potential basis for a challenge to the conviction. Any further appellate proceedings would be without arguable merit within the meaning of *Anders* and WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32. Accordingly, the judgments of conviction are affirmed and Attorney Daniel Goggin II is relieved of any further representation of Cooklin on these appeals.

Therefore,

² Cooklin's appeal of her plea and sentencing are on hold in this court pending the supreme court's resolution of a trilogy of DNA surcharge cases.

IT IS ORDERED that the judgments are summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Daniel Goggin II is relieved from further representing Cooklin in these appeals. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals