

OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 East Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

February 28, 2018

To:

Hon. Ellen K. Berz Circuit Court Judge 215 South Hamilton, Br.11, Rm. 5103 Madison, WI 53703

Carlo Esqueda Clerk of Circuit Court 215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 1000 Madison, WI 53703

Michael E. Covey Covey Law Office P.O. Box 1771 Madison, WI 53701-1771 Stephanie R. Hilton Asst. District Attorney 215 S. Hamilton, Rm. 3000 Madison, WI 53703-3211

Criminal Appeals Unit Department of Justice P.O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

Soukpasong Manavong 312412 Columbia Corr. Inst. P.O. Box 900 Portage, WI 53901-0900

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2017AP983-CRNM

State of Wisconsin v. Soukpasong Manavong (L.C. # 2015CF773)

Before Blanchard, Kloppenburg and Fitzpatrick, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Soukpasong Manavong appeals a judgment convicting him, following a jury trial, of repeated sexual assault of a child. Attorney Michael Covey has filed a no-merit report seeking to withdraw as appellate counsel. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2015-16); Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967). The no-merit report addresses the sufficiency of the evidence and

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version unless otherwise noted.

Manavong's sentence. Manavong was sent a copy of the report, but has not filed a response. Upon independently examining the entire record, as well as the no-merit report, we conclude that there are no arguably meritorious appellate issues.

First, we have reviewed the trial transcripts and are satisfied that the evidence was sufficient to prove all the elements of the charged offense. *See* Wis. STAT. § 948.025 and Wis JI—Criminal 2107 (setting forth elements of repeated sexual assault of a child); *State v. Zimmerman*, 2003 WI App 196, ¶24, 266 Wis. 2d 1003, 669 N.W.2d 762 (discussing standard for sufficiency of the evidence). The victim, who was thirteen years old by the time of trial, testified that Manavong had sexually abused her approximately 100 times beginning when she was six years old. The State then introduced a recorded interview in which the victim gave details about multiple sexual assaults that we need not detail here. The jury was entitled to find the victim credible in whole or part, and her statements alone were sufficient to support the verdict.

A challenge to Manavong's sentence would also lack arguable merit. The record shows that the circuit court considered relevant sentencing factors and rationally explained their application to this case, emphasizing that Manavong had abused a position of authority to assault a vulnerable victim over a lengthy period of time, leaving emotional scars on the victim that could last a lifetime and warranted "pure and simple punishment." *See generally State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶¶39-46, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. The court sentenced Manavong to twelve years of initial confinement and eight years of extended supervision.

The sentence imposed did not exceed the maximum available penalty. *See* WIS. STAT. §§ 948.025(1)(d) (classifying repeated sexual assault of a child as a Class B felony);

No. 2017AP983-CRNM

973.01(2)(b)1. and (d)1. (providing maximum terms of forty years of initial confinement and

twenty years of extended supervision for a Class B felony). Nor was the sentence unduly harsh,

taking into account the impact on the victim and Manavong's prior criminal history. See

generally State v. Grindemann, 2002 WI App 106, ¶¶31-32, 255 Wis. 2d 632, 648 N.W.2d 507.

We see no grounds to challenge voir dire, any evidentiary decisions, or trial counsel's

performance. Upon our independent review of the record, we have found no other arguable basis

for reversing the judgment of conviction. We conclude that any further appellate proceedings

would be wholly frivolous within the meaning of *Anders* and WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed pursuant to Wis.

STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Michael Covey is relieved of any further

representation of Soukpasong Manavong in this matter pursuant to Wis. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

3

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff

Clerk of Court of Appeals