



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT I

November 16, 2017

To:

Hon. Jonathan D. Watts
Circuit Court Judge
Br. 15
821 W. State St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233

John Barrett
Clerk of Circuit Court
Room 114
821 W. State Street
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Lisa E.F. Kumfer
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Karen A. Loebel
Asst. District Attorney
821 W. State St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Jerry Quinn, Sr. 192068
Kettle Moraine Corr. Inst.
P.O. Box 282
Plymouth, WI 53073-0282

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2016AP1969-CR State of Wisconsin v. Jerry Quinn, Sr.
 (L.C. # 2014CF3481)

Before Brennan, P.J., Kessler and Dugan, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Jerry Quinn, Sr., *pro se*, appeals a judgment convicting him of two counts of intimidating a witness in furtherance of a conspiracy. He also appeals an order denying his postconviction motion. Quinn argues that he should be allowed to withdraw his guilty plea or, in the alternative, his sentence should be modified. He also argues that he received ineffective assistance of trial counsel. Based upon our review of the briefs and record, we conclude at conference that this

case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2015-16).¹ We affirm.

Quinn first argues that he should be allowed to withdraw his guilty plea or, in the alternative, his sentence should be modified. However, Quinn provides no factual basis or legal support for his assertions. Because Quinn wholly fails to develop his arguments, we will not consider them. *See State v. Pettit*, 171 Wis. 2d 627, 646-47, 492 N.W.2d 633 (Ct. App. 1992) (we will not consider inadequately briefed arguments).

Quinn next argues that he received ineffective assistance of trial counsel. A claim of ineffective assistance of trial counsel is waived on appeal if the defendant does not first bring a postconviction motion in the circuit court. *State ex rel. Rothering v. McCaughtry*, 205 Wis. 2d 675, 677-78, 556 N.W.2d 136 (Ct. App. 1996); *State v. Waites*, 158 Wis. 2d 376, 392-93, 462 N.W.2d 206 (1990). Quinn did not raise this issue by postconviction motion in the circuit court. Therefore, this argument is waived.

Upon the foregoing,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment and order of the circuit court is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Diane M. Fremgen
Clerk of Court of Appeals

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version unless otherwise noted.