



OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
**WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS**

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215  
P.O. BOX 1688  
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880  
TTY: (800) 947-3529  
Facsimile (608) 267-0640  
Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

**DISTRICT IV**

July 31, 2017

To:

Hon. Julie Genovese  
Circuit Court Judge  
Br. 13, Rm. 8103  
215 South Hamilton  
Madison, WI 53703

Jennifer Zilavy  
Assistant City Attorney  
#401  
210 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.  
Madison, WI 53703-3345

Carlo Esqueda  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
215 S. Hamilton, Rm. 1000  
Madison, WI 53703

Ray A. Peterson

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

---

2016AP1431                      City of Madison v. Ray A. Peterson (L.C. #2015CV2653)

Before Kloppenburg, P.J., Lundsten and Sherman, JJ.

**Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).**

Ray Peterson appeals an order that permanently enjoined him from managing properties that he owns, but that have been placed in receivership under Anchor Property Management pursuant to declarations that they are public nuisances. After reviewing the record, we conclude at conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2015-16).<sup>1</sup> We affirm.

---

<sup>1</sup> All reference to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version unless otherwise noted.

Peterson's brief raises numerous complaints about the circuit court proceedings in this matter. The brief fails, however, to develop any coherent arguments that apply relevant legal authority to the facts of record, and instead relies largely upon conclusory assertions to demand relief. A party must do more than "simply toss a bunch of concepts into the air with the hope that either the [circuit] court or the opposing party will arrange them into viable and fact-supported legal theories." *State v. Jackson*, 229 Wis. 2d 328, 337, 600 N.W.2d 39 (Ct. App. 1999). Consequently, this court need not consider arguments that are unsupported by adequate factual and legal citations or are otherwise undeveloped. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.19(1)(d) and (e) (setting forth the requirements for briefs); *Grothe v. Valley Coatings, Inc.*, 2000 WI App 240, ¶6, 239 Wis. 2d 406, 620 N.W.2d 463 (regarding unsupported arguments); and *State v. Pettit*, 171 Wis. 2d 627, 646-47, 492 N.W.2d 633 (Ct. App. 1992) (regarding undeveloped arguments). We therefore reject Peterson's claims without further discussion based upon the deficiencies of his brief.

IT IS ORDERED that the order enjoining Ray Peterson from participating in the management of properties that have been declared to be public nuisances and placed in receivership is summarily affirmed under WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21(1).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

---

*Diane M. Fremgen*  
*Clerk of Court of Appeals*