

OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

January 7, 2015

To:

Hon. Karen L. Seifert Circuit Court Judge Winnebago County Courthouse P.O. Box 2808 Oshkosh, WI 54903

Sara Henke Register in Probate Winnebago County Courthouse P.O. Box 2808 Oshkosh, WI 54903-2808 Tristan S. Breedlove Assistant State Public Defender P.O. Box 7862 Madison, WI 53707

James A. Kearney James A. Kearney Law Office, S.C. 675 Deerwood Drive Neenah, WI 54956-1629

David M. 121955 Waupun Corr. Inst. P.O. Box 351 Waupun, WI 53963-0351

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2014AP1660-NM

In the matter of the mental commitment of David M.: Winnebago County v. David M. (L.C. # 2014ME9)

Before Brown, C.J.¹

In this WIS. STAT. ch. 51 appeal, David M. appeals from an order extending for another year his commitment for mental health treatment and an order authorizing the involuntary administration of medication and treatment. David's appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). David received a copy of the report, was advised of his right to file a response, and has elected not to do

¹ This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2)(d) (2011-12). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2011-12 version.

so. After reviewing the record and counsel's report, we conclude that there are no issues with arguable merit for appeal. Therefore, we summarily affirm the orders. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

The no-merit report addresses the following appellate issues: (1) whether the circuit court complied with the statutory procedures governing the extension of an involuntary commitment of a person who, like David, is an inmate of a state prison; (2) whether there is any arguable merit to claim that the county failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence the allegations made pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 51.20(1)(ar); and (3) whether there is any basis for challenging the circuit court's order allowing the involuntary administration of medication and treatment.

The no-merit report thoroughly discusses these issues. We agree with appellate counsel that these issues do not have arguable merit for appeal. Here, the circuit court followed the statutory procedures, including the time limits, governing the county's petition to extend the commitment. The testimony of David's treating psychiatrist at the hearing on the petition satisfied the county's burden to prove all required facts by clear and convincing evidence. *See* WIS. STAT. § 51.20(13)(e). Additionally, his testimony was sufficient to satisfy the applicable standards. *See K.N.K. v. Buhler*, 139 Wis. 2d 190, 198, 407 N.W.2d 281 (Ct. App. 1987) (the application of the facts to a statutory concept presents a question of law we review de novo). Accordingly, there is no basis to challenge either circuit court order.

Our independent review of the record does not disclose any potentially meritorious issue for appeal. Because we conclude that there would be no arguable merit to any issue that could

No. 2014AP1660-NM

be raised on appeal, we accept the no-merit report and relieve Attorney Tristan S. Breedlove of

further representation of David in this matter.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the orders of the circuit court are summarily affirmed pursuant to

WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Tristan S. Breedlove is relieved of further

representation of David M. in this matter.

Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Court of Appeals

3