

OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT III

November 4, 2014

To:

Hon. Paul J. Lenz Circuit Court Judge Eau Claire County Courthouse 721 Oxford Avenue Eau Claire, WI 54703-5496

Jodi Gobrecht Clerk of Circuit Court Eau Claire County Courthouse 721 Oxford Avenue, Ste. 2220 Eau Claire, WI 54703-5496

John C. Bachman John Bachman Law Office P.O. Box 477 Eau Claire, WI 54702-0477 Gary M. King District Attorney 721 Oxford Ave Eau Claire, WI 54703

Gregory M. Weber Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

James J. Andres 515182 Jackson Corr. Inst. P.O. Box 233 Black River Falls, WI 54615-0233

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2013AP1357-CRNM 2013AP1358-CRNM 2013AP1359-CRNM 2013AP1360-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. James J. Andres (L. C. ##2011CF826, 2012CF88, 2012CF273, 2012CF491)

Before Hoover, P.J., Stark and Hruz, JJ.

Counsel for James Andres has filed a no-merit report concluding there is no basis to challenge Andres' convictions for falsely presenting a noncontrolled substance, as a party to a crime, in case No. 2013AP1357; misdemeanor battery in case No. 2013AP1358; telephone harassment, as a repeater, in case No. 2013AP1359; and bail jumping in case No. 2013AP1360. Andres was advised of his right to respond and has not responded. Upon our independent review

Nos. 2013AP1357-CRNM 2013AP1358-CRNM

2013AP1359-CRNM

2013AP1360-CRNM

of the record as mandated by Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), we conclude there is no

arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal and summarily affirm.

In exchange for his no contest pleas globally resolving these cases, various other charges

and uncharged files were dismissed and read in. There is no manifest injustice upon which

Andres could withdraw his pleas. See State v. Duychak, 133 Wis. 2d 307, 312, 395 N.W.2d 795

(Ct. App. 1986). The court's extensive colloquy, together with the plea questionnaire and waiver

of rights form, informed Andres of the constitutional rights he waived by pleading no contest, the

elements of the offenses, the potential penalties and the deportation consequences. The court

specifically advised Andres that it was not bound by the parties' agreement and could impose the

maximum penalties. An adequate factual basis supported the convictions. The record shows the

pleas were knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently entered. See State v. Bangert, 131 Wis. 2d

246, 260, 389 N.W.2d 12 (1986). Entry of valid no contest pleas constitutes a waiver of

nonjurisdictional defects and defenses. Id. at 265-66.

The record also discloses no basis for challenging the court's sentencing discretion. The

court considered the proper factors, including Andres' character, the seriousness of the offenses

and the need to protect the public. See State v. Harris, 119 Wis. 2d 612, 623, 350 N.W.2d 633

(1984). The court referenced Andres' lengthy criminal and juvenile history, and stated Andres

had "been kind of a terror ... for a long time." The court also emphasized "the COMPAS

evaluation indicates high risk and high probability of further criminal activity in almost all

categories." The court imposed concurrent sentences consisting of the following: eighteen

months' initial confinement and two years' extended supervision in case No. 2013AP1357; eight

months' jail in case No. 2013AP1358; one year initial confinement and one year extended

2

Nos. 2013AP1357-CRNM 2013AP1358-CRNM

2013AP1359-CRNM

2013AP1360-CRNM

supervision in case No. 2013AP1359; and two years' initial confinement and three years'

extended supervision in case No. 2013AP1360. The sentences were allowable by law and not

unduly harsh or excessive. See Ocanas v. State, 70 Wis. 2d 179, 185, 233 N.W.2d 457 (1975).

Our independent review of the record discloses no other issues of arguable merit.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgments are summarily affirmed. See WIS. STAT. RULE

809.21 (2011-12).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that attorney John Bachman is relieved of further

representing Andres in these matters.

Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Court of Appeals

3