



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

October 9, 2014

To:

Hon. John P. Roemer Jr.
Circuit Court Judge
Juneau County Justice Center
200 Oak Street
Mauston, WI 53948

Jonathan M. Nitti
Legal Counsel Office
Wisconsin Department of Corrections
P.O. Box 7925
Madison, WI 53707-7925

Loretta Roberts
Clerk of Circuit Court
Juneau County Justice Center
P.O. Box 246
Mauston, WI 53948

Sally L. Wellman
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Edward D. Anderson 146417
Waupun Corr. Inst.
P.O. Box 351
Waupun, WI 53963-0351

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2014AP35

State of Wisconsin ex rel. Edward D. Anderson v. Tim Douma
(L.C. # 2013CV151)

Before Blanchard, P.J., Lundsten and Kloppenburg, JJ.

Edward Anderson appeals an order quashing his petition for a writ of habeas corpus and dismissing the petition. He argues that he is entitled to 294 days of sentence credit that he claims was incorrectly applied by the Department of Corrections. Based upon our review of the parties' briefs and the record, we conclude at conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2011-12). We summarily affirm.

Anderson was convicted of numerous felonies and received numerous consecutive sentences since 1992. He contends that the 294 days of credit granted by a court order dated

December 16, 1999, if correctly applied, would shorten his current terms of incarceration. The Department provided a detailed explanation of Anderson’s sentence credit in its response to the petition. After conducting a hearing on the habeas corpus petition, the circuit court entered an order dismissing the petition “for the reasons stated on the record.”

Anderson failed to provide a transcript of the hearing. This court cannot review the circuit court’s reasoning without a transcript that shows its reasoning. When the record is incomplete, this court must assume that the missing material supports the circuit court’s ruling. *State ex rel. Darby v. Litscher*, 2002 WI App 258, ¶5 n.4, 258 Wis. 2d 270, 653 N.W.2d 160.

IT IS ORDERED that the order is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21(1) (2011-12).

Diane M. Fremgen
Clerk of Court of Appeals