

## OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 East Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

## DISTRICT I/IV

January 21, 2014

*To*:

Hon. William Sosnay Circuit Court Judge Milwaukee County Courthouse 901 N. 9th St. Milwaukee, WI 53233

John Barrett Clerk of Circuit Court Room G-8 901 N. 9th Street Milwaukee, WI 53233 Mark C. Darnieder Darnieder & Geraghty 735 N. Water St., Ste. 930 Milwaukee, WI 53202-4105

Ayomide Ifelola El Bey 2102 West Neil Place Milwaukee, WI 53209

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2012AP1191

Badger Campus Credit Union v. Ayomide Ifelola El Bey (L.C. # 2011CV16956)

Before Blanchard, P.J., Higginbotham and Sherman, JJ.

Ayomide Ifelola El Bey appeals an order in favor of Badger Campus Credit Union in the Credit Union's action for a money judgment and replevin of three automobiles. Based upon our review of the briefs and record, we conclude at conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2011-12). We summarily affirm.

At the outset, we determine that the appellant's brief does not sufficiently develop any legal argument for us to review on appeal. This court cannot act as an advocate for litigants, and we will not develop legal arguments for El Bey. *See State v. Pettit*, 171 Wis. 2d 627, 646–47,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2011-12 version unless otherwise noted.

No. 2012AP1191

492 N.W.2d 633 (Ct. App. 1992). The inadequate briefing is a sufficient basis for us to reject

this appeal, and we do so. See id.

We will, however, briefly address the one issue we identify in El Bey's brief. It appears

that El Bey may be attempting to assert that the circuit court lacked jurisdiction over El Bey

because El Bey claims she is a citizen of a sovereign Washitaw Nation and thus not subject to the

jurisdiction of the courts of Wisconsin. We reject this argument on the merits.

Wisconsin courts have jurisdiction over a person who was served in an action within the

state. WIS. STAT. § 801.05(1)(a). The record establishes that El Bey was personally served in

this case in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. R-8. El Bey does not dispute that she was personally served

within Wisconsin. Accordingly, the court had jurisdiction over El Bey.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the order is summarily affirmed pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE

809.21.

Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Court of Appeals

2