



OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
**WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS**

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215  
P.O. BOX 1688  
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880  
TTY: (800) 947-3529  
Facsimile (608) 267-0640  
Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

**DISTRICT I**

December 6, 2013

To:

Hon. Jeffrey A. Wagner  
Circuit Court Judge  
Milwaukee County Courthouse  
901 N. 9th St.  
Milwaukee, WI 53233

John Barrett  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
Room 114  
821 W. State Street  
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Karen A. Loebel  
Asst. District Attorney  
821 W. State St.  
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Daniel J. O'Brien  
Assistant Attorney General  
P.O. Box 7857  
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Raymond Collins 63939  
Wisconsin Secure Program Facility  
P.O. Box 9900  
Boscobel, WI 53805-9900

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

---

2013AP248	State of Wisconsin v. Raymond Collins (L.C. #1979CF4734)
2013AP249	State of Wisconsin v. Raymond Collins (L.C. #1979CF4748B, 1979CF4734)
2013AP250	State of Wisconsin v. Raymond Collins (L.C. #1979CF4751, 1979CF4734)
2013AP251	State of Wisconsin v. Raymond Collins (L.C. #1979CF4752, 1979CF4734)

Before Fine, Kessler and Brennan, JJ.

Raymond Collins, *pro se*, appeals circuit court orders denying his motions for postconviction relief brought pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 974.06 (2011-12).<sup>1</sup> Based on our review

---

<sup>1</sup> All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2011-12 version unless otherwise noted.

of the briefs, we conclude at conference that these matters are appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. We affirm.

An appellant’s brief should contain an argument that sets forth the contentions of the appellant, including the reasons on which the argument is based, with citations to authority and the parts of the record on which the appellant relies. WIS. STAT. RULE 809.19(1)(e). An appellant’s brief should also contain a table of contents, a table of references, and a statement of issues presented for review and how the circuit court decided them. RULE 809.19(1)(a) and (b). Appellants who are proceeding *pro se* “must satisfy all procedural requirements, unless those requirements are waived by the court.” *Waushara Cnty. v. Graf*, 166 Wis. 2d 442, 452, 480 N.W.2d 16 (1992). “The right to self-representation is not a license not to comply with relevant rules of procedural and substantive law.” *Id.* (quotation marks, brackets and citation omitted).

¶1 The arguments presented in Collins’s brief do not clearly set forth the legal grounds on which he seeks relief and provide no citations to the parts of the record on which he relies. His brief does not have a table of contents or a table of references and, although there is a section in his document that is entitled issues presented, Collins does not cogently explain what the issues are or how the circuit court decided them. Not only has Collins failed to comply with our briefing rules, his arguments are conclusory and largely incomprehensible. We will not review issues that are inadequately briefed. *State v. Pettit*, 171 Wis. 2d 627, 647, 492 N.W.2d 633 (Ct. App. 1992) (We will not address issues raised by an appellant where a brief “is so

lacking in organization and substance that for us to decide [the] issues, we would first have to develop them.”). Therefore, we summarily affirm the circuit court’s orders.

IT IS ORDERED that the orders of the circuit court are summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

---

*Diane M. Fremgen*  
*Clerk of Court of Appeals*