



















express admission, we conclude, as a matter of law, that Williams was properly subject to the repeater penalty enhancer.

¶19 Since the record of the plea hearing establishes that, as a matter of law, the plea colloquy met the requirements of WIS. STAT. § 971.08(1)(a) and the applicable case law, and since that record also establishes, as a matter of law, that the requirements for imposition of the repeater enhancement were met, the trial court correctly decided Williams was not entitled to an evidentiary hearing on his motion.

*By the Court.*—Judgment and order affirmed.

This opinion will not be published. WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(1)(b)4.

