

**COURT OF APPEALS  
DECISION  
DATED AND FILED**

**June 28, 2005**

Cornelia G. Clark  
Clerk of Court of Appeals

**NOTICE**

This opinion is subject to further editing. If published, the official version will appear in the bound volume of the Official Reports.

A party may file with the Supreme Court a petition to review an adverse decision by the Court of Appeals. See WIS. STAT. § 808.10 and RULE 809.62.

**Appeal No. 2004AP2616-CR**

**Cir. Ct. No. 2002CF004131**

**STATE OF WISCONSIN**

**IN COURT OF APPEALS  
DISTRICT I**

---

**STATE OF WISCONSIN,**

**PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,**

**V.**

**JOSEPH J. JORDAN,**

**DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.**

---

APPEAL from a judgment and orders of the circuit court for Milwaukee County: JOHN A. FRANKE, Judge. *Affirmed.*

Before Wedemeyer, P.J., Fine and Kessler, JJ.

¶1 PER CURIAM. Joseph J. Jordan, *pro se*, seeks relief from a judgment entered on jury verdicts finding him guilty of one count of first-degree

reckless homicide, three counts of first-degree recklessly endangering safety, and one count of being a felon in possession of a firearm, *see* WIS. STAT. §§ 940.02(1), 941.30(1), 941.29(2) (2001–02), and from orders denying his motions for postconviction relief and for reconsideration.<sup>1</sup> Jordan claims that: (1) the trial court used the wrong standard when it found that he was not competent to represent himself at the trial; (2) he was denied the effective assistance of counsel because his trial lawyer did not object when the prosecutor allegedly vouched for police detectives’ credibility during closing arguments; and (3) the trial court did not ask about an alleged conflict of interest because Jordan’s trial lawyer was paid by the taxpayers without Jordan’s knowledge or consent. The trial court addressed these issues in its cogent written decision and order denying Jordan’s motion for postconviction relief. It applied the proper legal standards to the relevant facts and reached the correct result. Therefore, we incorporate and attach the trial court’s decision, and affirm. *See* WIS. CT. APP. IOP VI(5)(a) (Oct. 14, 2003) (court of appeals may adopt trial court’s opinion).

*By the Court.*—Judgment and orders affirmed.

This opinion will not be published. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(1)(b)5.

---

<sup>1</sup> Jordan’s notice of appeal references the trial court’s “decision and order” denying his motion for postconviction relief. After the trial court issued the order denying Jordan’s motion for postconviction relief, it issued the order denying his motion for reconsideration. We nonetheless have jurisdiction to consider all matters Jordan raises on appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. § 808.04(8) (if judgment or order entered after notice of appeal filed, notice of appeal shall be treated as entered after judgment or order), WIS. STAT. RULE 809.10(4) (appeal from final judgment or order brings before court all prior nonfinal judgments, orders, and rulings adverse to appellant and favorable to respondent not previously appealed and ruled on).

