

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Supreme Court

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880 Fax (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

JULY 2022

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of July, 2022 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2021.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued opinions resolving 15 cases in July. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

| <u>J</u> | uly 2022 | Term to Date |
|---|-----------|--------------|
| Total number of cases resolved by opinion | <u>15</u> | <u>78</u> |
| Attorney disciplinary cases | 1 | 13 |
| Judicial disciplinary cases | | 0 |
| Bar Admissions | | 0 |
| Civil cases | 10 | 45 |
| Criminal cases | | 20 |

Petitions for Review

A total of 43 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In July, the Supreme Court disposed of 5 petitions for review, of which no petitions were granted. The Supreme Court currently has 146 petitions for review pending.

| <u>.</u> | July 2022 | Term to Date |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Petitions for Review filed | 43 | 507 |
| Civil cases | 20 | 221 |
| Criminal cases | 23 | 286 |

| Petition for Review dispositions | 5 | 580 |
|------------------------------------|---|----------|
| Civil cases (petitions granted) | | 247 (29) |
| Criminal cases (petitions granted) | | 333 (20) |

Petitions for Bypass

In July, the Supreme Court received one petition for bypass and disposed of no petitions for bypass. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass July also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has 2 petitions for bypass pending.

| <u>Jul</u> | y 2022 | Term to Date |
|------------------------------------|--------|--------------|
| D. data C. D. Cit. 1 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Petitions for Bypass filed | | 11 |
| Civil cases | 1 | 10 |
| Criminal cases | 0 | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |
| Petition for Bypass dispositions | 0 | 12 |
| Civil cases (petitions granted) | 0 (0) | 11 (5) |
| Criminal cases (petitions granted) | 0 (0) | 1 (0) |

Requests for Certification

During July 2022, the Supreme Court received one request for certification and disposed of no requests for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has one request for certification pending.

| | <u>July 2022</u> | Term to Date |
|--|------------------|----------------|
| Requests for Certification filed | | <u>5</u> |
| Criminal cases | | 3 |
| Request for Certification dispositions | <u>0</u> | <u>5</u> |
| Civil cases (requests granted) | | 3 (2) 2 (2) |

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, a total of 4 matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and one such case was reopened. The Supreme Court also received 5 petitions for supervisory writ, which asks the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a Circuit Court to take a certain action in a case. There were no original actions filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 85 regulatory matters and 18 petitions for supervisory writs pending.

| | July 2022 | Term to Date |
|--|-----------|--------------|
| <u>Filings</u> | | |
| | _ | 20 |
| Attorney discipline (including reopened cases) | 5 | 20 |
| Judicial discipline | 0 | 0 |
| Bar admission | 0 | 0 |
| Petitions for Supervisory Writ | 5 | 50 |
| Other (including Original Actions) | 0 | 4 |
| <u>Dispositions by Order</u> | | |
| Attorney discipline | 0 | 0 |
| Judicial discipline | 0 | 0 |
| Bar admission | 0 | 0 |
| Petitions for Supervisory Writ | 3 | 46 |
| Other (including Original Actions) | 0 | 1 |

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT OPINIONS ISSUED DURING JULY 2022

| Docket No. | <u>Title</u> | <u>Date</u> |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| #2019AP2065-CR | State v. Richard Michael Arrington THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IS REVERSED. ROGGENSACK, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, and HAGEDORN, JJ., joined. DALLET, J., filed a concurring opinion, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined. | 07/01/2022 |
| #2020AP29-CR | State v. Westley D. Whitaker THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IS AFFIRMED. KAROFSKY, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, DALLET, and HAGEDORN, JJ., joined. ROGGENSACK, J., filed a concurring opinion in which ZIEGLER, C.J., joined. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a concurring opinion. HAGEDORN, J., filed a concurring opinion. | 07/05/2022 |
| #2020AP307 | Gregory M. Backus v. Waukesha County REVERSED AND CAUSE REMANDED. KAROFSKY, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, DALLET, and HAGEDORN, JJ., joined. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., and ROGGENSACK, J., joined. | 07/05/2022 |

#2018AP942-CR

State v. Robert Daris Spencer

07/06/2022

THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IS AFFIRMED IN PART AND REVERSED IN PART.

REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., ROGGENSACK, and HAGEDORN, JJ., joined. ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which DALLET and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined. DALLET, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which KAROFSKY, J., joined.

#2020AP192-CR

State v. Chrystul D. Kizer

THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IS AFFIRMED.

DALLET, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court with respect to all parts except ¶¶27-29 & n. 9-11, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY. REBECCA **GRASSL** BRADLEY, and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined, and an opinion with respect to ¶¶27-29 & n. 9-11, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined. **REBECCA** GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a concurring opinion. ROGGENSACK, J., filed dissenting opinion in which ZIEGLER, C.J., and HAGEDORN, J., joined.

07/06/2022

#2019AP96

<u>Friends of Frame Park, U.A. v. City of</u> Waukesha

THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IS REVERSED.

HAGEDORN, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court with respect to ¶3, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., ROGGENSACK, and REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, JJ., joined, an opinion with respect to ¶¶13-24, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., and ROGGENSACK, J., joined, and an opinion with respect to ¶¶1-2, 4-12, 25-38. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a concurring opinion, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., and ROGGENSACK, J., joined. KAROFSKY, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY and DALLET, JJ., joined.

#2020AP925

James Cobb v. Gary A. King

IS REVERSED.

PER CURIAM: THE REVIEW OF THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IS DISMISSED AS IMPROVIDENTLY GRANTED.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J., filed a concurring opinion in which DALLET, J., joined. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion. HAGEDORN, J., filed a dissenting opinion.

#2021AP1321-LV & #2021AP1325

County of Dane v. PSC of Wisconsin THE ORDER OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

ROGGENSACK, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court with respect to ¶4, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, and HAGEDORN, JJ., joined, and an opinion, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, JJ., joined. HAGEDORN, J., filed a concurring opinion. KAROFSKY, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY and DALLET, JJ., joined.

07/06/2022

07/06/2022

07/07/2022

#2018AP2205

State v. C.G. AFFIRMED.

REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court with respect to all parts except ¶6 and 36–46, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., ROGGENSACK, and HAGEDORN, JJ., joined, and an opinion with respect to ¶6 and 36–46, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., and ROGGENSACK, J., joined. HAGEDORN, J., filed a concurring opinion. ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which DALLET and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined.

#2022AP91

Richard Teigen v. Wisconsin Elections Commission

AFFIRMED.

REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, delivered the majority opinion of the Court with respect to ¶¶4–10, 12–13, 52–63, and 73–85, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., ROGGENSACK, and HAGEDORN, JJ., joined, and an opinion with respect to ¶¶1–3, 11, 14–51, 64–72, 86, n.29, and 87. which ZIEGLER, in **C.J.**, ROGGENSACK, J., joined. ROGGENSACK, J., filed a concurring opinion. **REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J.,** filed a concurring opinion, in which **ZIEGLER**, C.J., and ROGGENSACK, J., joined. HAGEDORN, J., filed a concurring opinion. ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which DALLET and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined.

#2020AP1032

John Doe 1 v. Madison Metro School District
THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF
APPEALS IS AFFIRMED AND THE
CAUSE IS REMANDED TO THE
CIRCUIT COURT.

HAGEDORN, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, DALLET, and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined. ROGGENSACK, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which, ZIEGLER, C.J., and REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., joined.

07/07/2022

07/08/2022

07/08/2022

Jeffrey Becker v. Dane County

THE ORDER OF THE CIRCUIT COURT IS AFFIRMED, AND THE CAUSE REMANDED FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS CONSISTENT WITH THIS OPINION.

KAROFSKY, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court with respect to ¶¶1-28 and 44-45, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, DALLET, and HAGEDORN, JJ., joined, and an opinion with respect to ¶¶29-43, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY and DALLET, JJ., joined. HAGEDORN, J., filed a concurring opinion. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which ZIEGLER, C.J., and ROGGENSACK, J., joined.