

Patience Drake Roggensack Chief Justice

Supreme Court of Wisconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

 $110\,E.$ Main Street, Suite 215

P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880 Fax (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

MARCH 2020

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of March 2020 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2019.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued opinions resolving 4 cases in March. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

<u> </u>	March 2020	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	. <u>4</u>	<u>42</u>
Attorney disciplinary cases	. 0	16
Judicial disciplinary cases		1
Bar Admissions		0
Civil cases	. 2	29
Criminal cases	. 2	15

Petitions for Review

A total of 37 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In March, the Supreme Court disposed of 65 petitions for review, of which 3 petitions were granted. The Supreme Court currently has 155 petitions for review pending.

<u>_M</u>	arch 2020	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	37	335
Civil cases	8	96
Criminal cases	28	239

Petition for Review dispositions	65	331
Civil cases (petitions granted)	20 (1)	101 (13)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	45 (2)	230 (13)

Petitions for Bypass

In March, the Supreme Court received no petitions for bypass and disposed of 2 petitions for bypass. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has 2 petitions for bypass pending.

	March 2020	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed Civil cases		9 8
Criminal cases		1
Petition for Bypass dispositions	2	10
Civil cases (petitions granted) Criminal cases (petitions granted)	• • •	9 (0) 1 (0)

Requests for Certification

During March 2020, the Supreme Court received no requests for certification and disposed of no requests for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has no requests for certification pending.

Mar	rch 2020	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed Civil cases Criminal cases	0 0	$\frac{0}{0}$
Request for Certification dispositions Civil cases (requests granted) Criminal cases (requests granted)	0 0 (0) 0 (0)	$\frac{1}{0}$ (0) 1 (1)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, a total of no matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and no such case was reopened. The Supreme Court also received no petitions for supervisory writ, which asks the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a Circuit Court to take a certain action in a case. One original action was filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 99 regulatory matters and 10 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

1114	<u>rch 2020</u>	Term to Da
Filings		
Attorney discipline (including reopened cases)	0	23
Judicial discipline	0	0
Bar admission	0	1
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	0	26
Other (including Original Actions)	1	5
Dispositions by Order		
	0	1
Dispositions by Order Attorney discipline	0	1
Attorney discipline	0 0 0	1 0 0
Attorney discipline	- -	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\0\\23\end{array}$

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT OPINIONS ISSUED DURING MARCH 2020

<u>Docket No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	Date
#2017AP1977-CR	State v. Alexander M. Schulz: THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IS AFFIRMED. Rebecca Grassl Bradley, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which Roggensack, C. J., Ziegler and Kelly, JJ, joined. Hagedorn, J., filed a dissenting opiniuon, in which Ann Walsh Bradley, and Dallet, JJ., joined.	03/04/2020
#2017AP2510	 Antoinette Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisconsin, Inc.: THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IS REVERSED. Roggensack, C. J., announced the mandate of the Court, and delivered an opinion, in which Ziegler, J., joined. Rebecca Grassl Bradley, J., filed a concurring opinion, in which Kelly, J., joined. Dallet, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which Ann Walsh Bradley, J., joined. Hagedorn, J., filed a dissenting opinion. 	03/05/2020

03/6/2020

#2018AP651-CR

State v. Kelly James Kloss Per Curiam Opinion Dismissed as improvidently granted Rebecca Grassl Bradley and Kelly, JJ., dissent

03/19/2020

#2018AP1681 Steven J. Piper v. Jones Dairy Farm 0 THE DECISION OF THE CIRCUIT COURT IS AFFIRMED IN PART, 0 COURT IS AFFIRMED IN PART, REVERSED IN PART, AND CAUSE 0 REMANDED. Dallet, J., delivered the majority opinion of the 0 Court, in which Ann Walsh Bradley, Kelly, 0 0 Addressed opinion, in which Roggensack, C. 0 0

dissenting opinion.

J., joined. Rebecca Grassl Bradley, J., filed a