

Patience Drake Roggensack Chief Justice

# Supreme Court of Wisconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880 Fax (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov Sheila T. Reiff Clerk of Supreme Court

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

## NOVEMBER 2018

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of November 2018 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2018.

### **Opinions Issued by the Court**

The Supreme Court issued opinions resolving 10 cases in November. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

<u>N</u>	<u>lov 2018</u>	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	<u>3</u>	<u>11</u>
Attorney disciplinary cases	2	9
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	0
Bar Admissions		0
Civil cases	9	10
Criminal cases	1	1

### Petitions for Review

A total of 45 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In November, the Supreme Court disposed of 62 petitions for review, of which 1 petition was granted. The Supreme Court currently has 187 petitions for review pending.

No	ov 2018	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	45	171
Civil cases	17	51
Criminal cases	28	120

Petition for Review dispositions	62	234
Civil cases (petitions granted)	20 (0)	83 (12)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	42 (1)	151 (6)

#### Petitions for Bypass

In November, the Supreme Court received 2 petitions for bypass and disposed of 4 petitions for bypass. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has 4 petitions for bypass pending.

	Nov 2018	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed		4
Civil cases Criminal cases		2
Petition for Bypass dispositions	0	3
Civil cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	1 (0)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	2 (0)

#### **Requests for Certification**

During November 2018, the Supreme Court received 2 requests for certification and disposed of no requests for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has 2 requests for certification pending.

Nov	<u>/ 2018</u>	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed Civil cases	2 0	$\frac{2}{0}$
Criminal cases	2	2
Request for Certification dispositions Civil cases (requests granted)	<u>0</u> 0 (0)	$\frac{1}{0}$ (0)
Criminal cases (requests granted)	0 (0)	1 (1)

#### Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, a total of no matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) was filed and 2 such cases were reopened. The Supreme Court also received 1 petition for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a circuit court to take a certain action in a case. One original action was filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 116 regulatory matters and 10 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

INOV	<u>v 2018</u>	Term to Da
Filings		
Attorney discipline (including reopened cases)	0	8
Judicial discipline	2	2
Bar admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	1	9
Other (including Original Actions)	0	1
Dispositions by Order		
Dispositions by Order	2	Λ
Attorney discipline	2	4
Attorney discipline	2 0 0	4 0
Attorney discipline Judicial discipline Bar admission	2 0 0	0 0
Attorney discipline	2 0 0 6	4 0 0 10 3

# DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT OPINIONS ISSUED DURING NOVEMBER 2018

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	Date
#2016AP2148-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Jason C. Gonzalez Public Reprimand Pay Costs Per Curiam <sup>1</sup>	11/8/2018
#2017AP208-CR	State of Wisconsin vs. Johnny K. Pinder Judgment of the Circuit Court Affirmed Concurring: Kelly, J. and Bradley, R.G., J	11/16/2018
#2017AP512-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Gerald P. Boyle License Suspended for an indefinite period, commencing November 30, 2018 until further order of the court Costs held in abeyance Comply with SCR 22.26 Per Curiam	11/30/2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> "Per Curiam" means "by the court." Opinions issued per curiam are handed down by the court as a whole.