

Patience Drake Roggensack Chief Justice

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880 Fax (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov J. Denis Moran Director of State Courts

Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

July 2017

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of July 2017 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2016.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued opinions involving 8 cases in July. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

	July 2017	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	<u>8</u>	<u>85</u>
Attorney disciplinary cases		29
Judicial disciplinary cases		0
Bar admission cases.		1
Civil cases	2	27
Criminal cases	5	28

Petitions for Review

A total of 66 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In July, the Supreme Court disposed of 54 petitions for review, of which 3 petitions were granted. The Supreme Court currently has 176 petitions for review pending.

July	2017	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed Civil cases Criminal cases	25	<u>642</u> 294 348

Petition for Review dispositions	<u>54</u>	<u>698</u>
Civil cases (petitions granted)	26 (2)	326 (32)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	28 (1)	372 (33)

Petitions for Bypass

In July 2017, the Supreme Court received 1 petition for bypass and disposed of 3 petitions for bypass. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has 4 petitions for bypass pending.

<u>J</u>	<u>uly 2017</u>	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed Civil cases Criminal cases	0	<u>12</u> 7 5
Petition for Bypass dispositions Civil cases (petitions granted) Criminal cases (petitions granted)	3 (1)	$\frac{10}{9}$ (3) 1 (0)

Requests for Certification

During July 2017, the Supreme Court received no requests for certification and disposed of no requests for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has 3 requests for certification pending.

Ju	uly 2017	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed Civil cases Criminal cases	$\frac{0}{0}$	5 2 3
Request for Certification dispositions Civil cases (requests granted) Criminal cases (requests granted)	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{0}\\ 0 \end{array} (0)\\ 0 \end{array} (0)$	$\frac{3}{2}$ (1) 1 (0)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, there were 3 matters filed within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) and no such cases were reopened. The Supreme Court also received 5 petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a circuit court to take a certain action in a case. No original actions were filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 33 regulatory matters and 11 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

|--|

<u>Filings</u>

Attorney discipline (including reopened cases) Judicial discipline Bar admission Petitions for Supervisory Writ Other (including Original Actions)	3 0 0 5 0	54 0 0 40 1
Dispositions by Order	0	4
Attorney discipline	0	4
Judicial discipline	0	0
Bar admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	2	31
Other (including Original Actions)	1	5

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT

OPINIONS ISSUED DURING July 2017

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	Date
2015AP89-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR) v. Mark Alan Ruppelt License Suspended Per Curiam ¹ <u>Concur</u> : Abrahamson, J.	07/07/2017

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	Date
2015AP643	North Highland Inc. v. Jefferson Machine & Tool Inc. The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. <u>Majority Opinion</u> : A. W. Bradley, J. <u>Dissent</u> : Roggensack, C.J. <u>Dissent</u> : R. G. Bradley, J., joined by Kelly, J.	07/06/2017
2015AP791-CR	State v. Ernesto E. Lazo Villamil The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. <u>Majority Opinion</u> : A. W. Bradley, J. <u>Concur:</u> Kelly, J., joined by R. G. Bradley, J. <u>Dissent</u> : Abrahamson, J.	07/06/2017
2015AP1261-CR	State v. Navdeep S. Brar The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. <u>Majority Opinion</u> : Roggensack, C.J. <u>Concur</u> : R. G. Bradley, J. <u>Concur</u> : Kelly, J., join Part I by R. G. Bradley, J. <u>Dissent</u> : Abrahamson, J., joined by A.W. Bradley, J.	07/06/2017
2015AP2052-CR	State v. Kenneth M. Asboth, Jr. The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. <u>Majority Opinion</u> : R. G. Bradley, J. <u>Dissent</u> : A. W. Bradley, J., joined by Abrahamson, J.	07/06/2017

¹ "Per Curiam" means "by the Court." Opinions issued *per curiam* are handed down by the Court as a whole.

2015AP450-CR	 State v. Adam M. Blackman The decision of the court of appeals is reversed and remanded. <u>Majority Opinion</u>: Abrahamson, J. <u>Concur</u>: Ziegler, J., joined by Gableman, J. <u>Dissent</u>: Roggensack, C.J. 	07/07/2017
2015AP1294-CR	State v. Lewis O. Floyd, Jr. The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. <u>Majority Opinion</u> : Kelly, J. <u>Dissent</u> : A. W. Bradley, J., joined by Abrahamson, J.	07/07/2017
2015AP1523	Vincent Milewski v. Town of Dover The decision of the court of appeals is reversed and remanded to the circuit court. <u>Lead Opinion</u> : Kelly, J. <u>Concur</u> : Roggensack, C.J. <u>Concur</u> : Ziegler, J., joined by Gableman, J. <u>Dissent</u> : Abrahamson, J., joined by A. W. Bradley, J.	07/07/2017