

Patience Drake Roggensack Chief Justice

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880 Fax (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov J. Denis Moran Director of State Courts

Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

January 2017

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of January 2017 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2016.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued opinions involving 4 cases in January. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

Jan	uary 2017	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion Attorney disciplinary cases	$\overline{2}$	<u>18</u> 13
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	0
Bar admission cases	0	0
Civil cases	1	3
Criminal cases	1	2

Petitions for Review

A total of 38 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In January, the Supreme Court disposed of 69 petitions for review, of which 10 petitions were granted. The Supreme Court currently has 169 petitions for review pending.

January 2017 Term to Date

Petitions for Review filed	38	271
Civil cases	16	132
Criminal cases	22	139

Petition for Review dispositions	<u>69</u>	<u>334</u>
Civil cases (petitions granted)	36 (4)	162 (14)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	33 (6)	172 (19)

Petitions for Bypass

In January, the Supreme Court received 0 petitions for bypass and disposed of 0 petitions for bypass. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has no petitions for bypass pending.

	January 201	7 <u>Term to Date</u>
Petitions for Bypass filed Civil cases Criminal cases	0	$\frac{1}{1}$ 0
Petition for Bypass dispositions Civil cases (petitions granted) Criminal cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	$\frac{3}{3}$ (1) 0 (0)

Requests for Certification

During January 2017, the Supreme Court received 0 requests for certification and disposed of 1 request for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has no requests for certification pending.

Ja	anuary 2017	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed Civil cases Criminal cases	. 0	2 1 1
Request for Certification dispositions Civil cases (requests granted) Criminal cases (requests granted)	. 0 (0)	$\frac{3}{2}$ (1) 1 (0)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, a total of 1 matter within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and 2 such cases were reopened. The Supreme Court also received 5 petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a circuit court to take a certain action in a case. No original actions were filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 32 regulatory matters and 8 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

	nuary 2017	Term to Date
<u>Filings</u>		
Attorney discipline (including reopened cases)	3	26
Judicial discipline	0	0
Bar admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	5	22
Other (including Original Actions)	0	0
Dispositions by Order		
Attorney discipline	0	1
Judicial discipline	0	0
Bar admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	6	19
Other (including Original Actions)	1	2

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT

OPINIONS ISSUED DURING January 2017

<u>Docket No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	Date
2014AP2307-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR) v. Shawn G. Rice License Suspended Per Curiam ¹ <u>Dissent</u> : Abrahamson, J. joined by A.W. Bradley, J.	01/06/2017
2015AP2676-D	OLR v. Ty Christopher Willihnganz Public Reprimand Per Curiam <u>Concur</u> : Abrahamson, J.	01/31/2017

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	Date
2014AP195	 Braylon Seifert v. Kay M. Balink, M.D. Court of Appeals decision affirmed <u>Majority Opinion</u>: Abrahamson, J. <u>Concur</u>: Ziegler, J. <u>Concur</u>: Gableman, J. joined by Roggensack, C.J. <u>Dissent</u>: Kelly, J. joined by R.G. Bradley, J. 	01/06/2017
2015AP656-CR	State v. Patrick K. Kozel Court of Appeals decision reversed <u>Majority Opinion</u> : Ziegler, J. <u>Dissent:</u> A.W. Bradley, J. joined by Abrahamson, J.	01/12/2017

¹ "Per Curiam" means "by the Court." Opinions issued *per curiam* are handed down by the Court as a whole.