

April 30, 2024

## WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT

### TABLE OF PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court  
Telephone: (608) 266-1880  
Email: [clerk@wicourts.gov](mailto:clerk@wicourts.gov)  
Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

Wisconsin Supreme Court Case Access: <http://wscca.wicourts.gov>

The following table describes pending cases the Supreme Court has accepted on petition for review, bypass, certification and original jurisdiction.

The cases included for the first time (that is, the most recently accepted cases) are marked with an \* next to the case number. After the Supreme Court decides a case, the date of oral argument or date of submission on briefs is replaced with the date of the Supreme Court decision and abbreviated mandate. That mandate will generally be listed in the table for two months and then the case will be removed from the table.

The information in the table, from left to right, is as follows:

- the case number;
- an abbreviated caption of the case (case name);
- a statement of the issue(s);
- the date the Supreme Court accepted the case;
- the method by which the case came to the Supreme Court: REVW = Petition for review, CERT = Certification, CERQ = Certified Question, BYPA = Petition to bypass, ORIG = Original Action, WRIT = Petition for supervisory writ, REMD = Remanded from the U.S. Supreme Court;
- the date of oral argument or submission on briefs; or the date of the Supreme Court decision and an abbreviated mandate;
- the Court of Appeals district from which the case came, if applicable; the county;
- the date of the Court of Appeals decision, if applicable;
- whether the Court of Appeals decision is published or unpublished, and, if it is published, the citations to the public domain citation and the official reports for the Court of Appeals decision.

The statement of the issue is cursory and does not purport to be an all-inclusive, precise statement of the issues in the case. Readers interested in a case should determine the precise nature of the issues from the record and briefs filed with the Supreme Court.

The following table covers cases accepted and decisions issued through **April 30, 2024**. Please direct any comments regarding this table to the Clerk of Supreme Court via email to [Clerk@WICourts.gov](mailto:Clerk@WICourts.gov).

TABLE OF PENDING CASES  
**WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT**  
 CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
<a href="#">2020AP333</a>	<u>Erik A. Andrade v. City of Milwaukee Bd. of Fire and Police Commissioners</u>  Did the Chief of Police deprive an officer of Due Process by failing to provide an explanation of his evidence supporting his decision to discharge the officer?  Did the Chief of Police deprive an officer of Due Process by failing to comply with Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 62.50(13)</a> ?	06/22/2023 REVW AFFIRMED 04/30/2024 <a href="#">2024 WI 17</a>	1 Milwaukee	08/31/2021 Unpub.
<a href="#">2020AP1775</a>	<u>Nancy Kindschy v. Brian Aish</u>  Whether Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 813.125</a> , as construed by the Court of Appeals to prohibit speech from a public sidewalk intended to persuade listeners to repent sinful conduct violates the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and Art. I, §3 of the Wisconsin Constitution?  Whether speech from a public sidewalk intended to persuade listeners, even if directed to a specific listener, to repent sinful conduct serves “no legitimate purpose” within the meaning of Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 813.125</a> ?  Whether enjoining, for a period of four years, a pro-life, anti-Planned Parenthood protestor from protesting on a public sidewalk in front of a Planned Parenthood during its business hours constitutes an unconstitutional restraint on First Amendment protected expression?	06/22/2022 REVW Oral Arg 12/01/2022 03/19/2024	3 Trempealeau	03/08/2022 Pub. <a href="#">2022 WI App 17</a> 401 Wis. 2d 406 973 N.W.2d 828
<a href="#">2020AP2007</a>	<u>Catholic Charities Bureau, Inc. v. State of Wisconsin Labor and Industry Review Commission</u>  Whether Wisconsin’s unemployment insurance law, which exempts “an organization operated primarily for religious purposes,” exempts Petitioners.  Whether the court of appeals’ interpretation of the religious exemption to Wisconsin’s unemployment insurance law violates the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 18 of the Wisconsin Constitution.	04/18/2023 REVW AFFIRMED 03/14/2024 <a href="#">2024 WI 13</a>	3 Douglas	02/14/2023 Pub. <a href="#">2023 WI App 12</a> 406 Wis. 2d 586 987 N.W.2d 778
<a href="#">2021AP1589</a>	<u>Sojenhomer LLC v. Village of Egg Harbor</u>  Do the recently enacted prohibitions on condemnation for “pedestrian ways” set forth in Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 32.015</a> and Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 61.34(3)(b)</a> , prohibit Wisconsin municipalities from exercising their condemnation powers pursuant to Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 61.34(3)(a)</a> , to widen and reconstruct a road when a sidewalk will be located within the right-of-way?	08/17/2023 REVW Oral Arg 12/19/2023	3 Door	03/14/2023 Pub. <a href="#">2023 WI App 20</a> 407 Wis. 2d 587 990 N.W.2d 267

**NOTE: The statement of the issue is cursory and does not purport to be an all-inclusive, precise statement of the issues in the case. Readers interested in a case should determine the precise nature of the issues from the record and briefs filed with the Supreme Court.**

TABLE OF PENDING CASES  
**WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT**  
 CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
<a href="#">2021AP2105-CR</a>	<u>State v. Michael Gene Wiskowski</u>  When the report of a person sleeping in a car while waiting in line at a drive thru is contradicted by the officer's observation of the car driving on the road without any traffic violations, is there reasonable suspicion to stop the car or can police justify the stop based on the community caretaker doctrine?  After the stop, when the driver provides a reasonable explanation, can the officer use the community caretaker doctrine to extend the stop to perform field sobriety tests?	09/26/2023 REVW Oral Arg 01/24/2024	2 Sheboygan	03/15/2023 Unpub.
<a href="#">2022AP13</a>	<u>Amazon Logistics, Inc. v. LIRC</u>  Whether the Court of Appeals erred in construing three statutory conditions for determining independent-contract status under Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 108.02(12)(bm)2</a> to collapse into one in the context of gig workers in the modern economy.  Whether the Court of Appeals erred in deferring to LIRC's legal conclusions about whether evidence was admissible and sufficient to satisfy Amazon Logistics' burden of proof.  Whether the Court of Appeals erred in holding that Amazon Logistics was required to present evidence about each of the 1,000-plus workers at issue during the single-day hearing set for its appeal of the underlying unemployment benefits determination.	08/17/2023 REVW DISMISSED 03/26/2024 <a href="#">2024 WI 15</a>	4 Waukesha	05/31/2023 Pub. <a href="#">2023 WI App 26</a> 407 Wis. 2d 807 992 N.W.2d 168
<a href="#">2022AP1329</a>	<u>State v. B. W.</u>  Whether a circuit court's plea colloquy is defective when it miscommunicates the burden of proof it is required to apply at disposition.  Whether the circuit court improperly relied on the adoptive parent's assurance that she would allow the respondent to continue to visit with his son in deciding to terminate his parental rights.	12/12/2023 REVW Oral Arg 03/19/2024	1 Milwaukee	10/25/2023 Unpub.

**NOTE: The statement of the issue is cursory and does not purport to be an all-inclusive, precise statement of the issues in the case. Readers interested in a case should determine the precise nature of the issues from the record and briefs filed with the Supreme Court.**

TABLE OF PENDING CASES  
**WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT**  
 CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
<a href="#">2022AP1334</a>	<u>A.M.B. v. Circuit Court of Ashland County</u>  Whether Wis. Stat. Ann. <a href="#">§ 48.81</a> and <a href="#">48.92(2)</a> violate the equal protection rights under Wis. Const., art. I, § 1 and the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, by requiring A.M.B. and T.G. to be married to enable T.G. to adopt her.  Whether Wis. Stat. Ann. <a href="#">§ 48.81</a> and <a href="#">48.92(2)</a> violate the equal protection rights under Wis. Const., art. 1, § 1 and the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution by requiring T.G. to be married to A.M.B. to adopt M.M.C.  Do unstated legislative interests of "promoting marriage" and "preserving the traditional unitary family" trump the stated legislative interest of promoting the best interest of the child in Chapter 48?  Is it consistent with Wisconsin law to discriminate against individuals based on marital status for stepparent adoptions to "promot[e] marriage" and "protect the traditional unitary family"?	02/21/2023 BYPA AFFIRMED 04/30/2024 <a href="#">2024 WI 18</a>	3 Ashland	--
<a href="#">2022AP1349</a>	<u>Becker v. Wis. Dept. of Revenue</u>  Are semitrailers "truck bodies" within the meaning of Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 77.54(5)(a)4</a> if designed to be pulled by trucks rather than truck tractors?	01/23/2024 REVW Oral Arg. HOLD	1 LaCrosse	07/25/2023 Unpub.
<a href="#">2022AP1999-W</a>	<u>Davis v. Circuit Court for Dane County</u>  Whether the SPD's inability to appoint counsel before the deadline for requesting a substitution of judge expires is a "government created obstacle" that interferes with a defendant's intelligent exercise of his right of substitution? Alternatively, whether the doctrine of equitable tolling tolls the deadline for filing a request for substitution of judge until the defendant is appointed counsel?	01/12/2023 REVW AFFIRMED 03/26/2024 <a href="#">2024 WI 14</a>	4 Dane	12/13/2022 Unpub.
<a href="#">2023AP36</a>	<u>Wisconsin Voter Alliance v. Secord</u>  Whether the Court of Appeals was bound to apply its own precedent established in <i>Wisconsin Voter Alliance v. Reynolds</i> , <a href="#">2022 WI App 66</a> , 410 Wis. 2d 335, 1 N.W.3d 748?  Whether the Notices of Voting Eligibility forms are subject to public disclosure?	12/27/2023 REVW	2 Walworth	12/22/2023 Unpub.

**NOTE: The statement of the issue is cursory and does not purport to be an all-inclusive, precise statement of the issues in the case. Readers interested in a case should determine the precise nature of the issues from the record and briefs filed with the Supreme Court.**

TABLE OF PENDING CASES  
**WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT**  
 CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
<a href="#">2023AP215</a>	<u>Winnebago County v. D.E.W.</u>  What kind of testimony must the County present to satisfy the "reasonable explanation" requirement in Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 51.61(1)(g)4</a> ?  Does this Court's decision in <u>Winnebago County v. Christopher S.</u> , <a href="#">2016 WI 1</a> , 366 Wis. 2d 1, 878 N.W.2d 109 permit the court of appeals to uphold a finding that the patient is incompetent to refuse medication based on "conclusory" testimony from the testifying doctor so long as the lower court finds that testimony "credible?"	12/12/2023 REVW Oral Arg 03/20/2024	2 Winnebago	08/30/2023 Unpub.
<a href="#">2023AP441</a>	<u>State v. R. A. M.</u>  Does a circuit court striking a parent's contest posture and entering default judgment after a finding of bad faith and egregious behavior by the respondent parent automatically trigger a waiver of counsel under Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 48.23</a> ?  Does an automatic waiver of counsel under Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 48.23</a> without an explicit finding of waiver and discharge of counsel by the circuit court lead to absurd results?  Does any limitation of appointed counsel's participation in a TPR proceeding as a sanction after entering default judgment against a parent amount to "total deprivation" of counsel under <u>Shirley E. [Torrance P., Jr. v. Shirley E.]</u> , <a href="#">2006 WI 129</a> , ¶43, 298 Wis. 2d 1, 724 N.W.2d 623)?	09/26/2023 REVW Oral Arg 01/24/2024	1 Milwaukee	07/26/2023 Unpub.
<a href="#">2023AP533</a>	<u>Waukesha County v. M.A.C.</u>  Under what circumstances can a default judgment be entered against an individual who appears by counsel at a commitment hearing?  Whether Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 51.20(10)(a)</a> entitles an individual to personal notice of a recommitment hearing.  Whether a person can forfeit their right to an examination of their competency to refuse medication.	12/12/2023 REVW Oral Arg 03/20/2024	2 Waukesha	08/30/2023 Unpub.

**NOTE: The statement of the issue is cursory and does not purport to be an all-inclusive, precise statement of the issues in the case. Readers interested in a case should determine the precise nature of the issues from the record and briefs filed with the Supreme Court.**

TABLE OF PENDING CASES  
**WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT**  
 CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
<a href="#">2023AP1399-OA</a>	<u>Rebecca Clarke v. Wisconsin Elections Commission</u>  Do the existing state legislative maps violate the contiguity requirements contained in Article IV, <a href="#">Sections 4</a> and <a href="#">5</a> of the Wisconsin Constitution?  Did the adoption of the existing state legislative maps violate the Wisconsin Constitution's separation of powers?  If the court rules that Wisconsin's existing state legislative maps violate the Wisconsin Constitution for either or both of these reasons and the legislature and the governor then fail to adopt state legislative maps that comply with the Wisconsin Constitution, what standards should guide the court in imposing a remedy for the constitutional violation(s)?  What fact-finding, if any, will be required if the court determines there is a constitutional violation based on the contiguity clauses and/or the separation-of-powers doctrine and the court is required to craft a remedy for the violation? If fact-finding will be required, what process should be used to resolve questions of fact?	10/06/2023 ORIG Oral Arg 11/21/2023	--	--
<a href="#">2023AP2020-OA</a>	<u>Governor Evers v. Senator Marklein</u>  Wisconsin Stat. <a href="#">§ 23.0917</a> charges DNR with administering the Knowles-Nelson Stewardship Program, through which DNR awards already-appropriated funds to expand public access to the State's natural resources. Wisconsin Stat. <a href="#">§ 23.0917(6m)</a> and <a href="#">(8)(g)3.</a> authorize the Joint Committee on Finance, a 16-member legislative committee, to veto DNR's choices. Do those veto provisions facially violate the separation of powers?	02/02/2024 ORIG Oral Arg 04/17/2024	--	--
<a href="#">2023AP1614</a>	<u>Morway v. Morway</u>  Is an order that includes no finality language and that expressly contemplates additional substantive litigation between the parties a "final order" under Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 808.03(1)</a> for purposes of appeal?  Is there an attorney fee exception to finality under Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 808.03(1)</a> , such that an order is final for purposes of appeal if all that remains to be litigated is a claim for attorney's fees? If an attorney fee exception exists, does it extend to fee claims that require additional substantive litigation between the parties?	04/16/2024 REVW	2 Ozaukee	11/17/2023 Unpub.

**NOTE: The statement of the issue is cursory and does not purport to be an all-inclusive, precise statement of the issues in the case. Readers interested in a case should determine the precise nature of the issues from the record and briefs filed with the Supreme Court.**

TABLE OF PENDING CASES  
**WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT**  
 CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
<a href="#">2024AP164</a>	<p><u>Priorities USA v. Wisconsin Election Commission</u></p> <p>Whether to overrule the Court's holding in <u>Teigen v. Wisconsin Elections Commission</u>, <a href="#">2022 WI 64</a>, 403 Wis. 2d 607, 976 N.W.2d 519, that Wis. Stat. <a href="#">§ 6.87</a> precludes the use of secure drop boxes for the return of absentee ballots to municipal clerks.</p>	<p>03/12/2024            BYPA            Oral Arg            05/13/2024</p>	<p>4            Dane</p>	--

**NOTE:** The statement of the issue is cursory and does not purport to be an all-inclusive, precise statement of the issues in the case. Readers interested in a case should determine the precise nature of the issues from the record and briefs filed with the Supreme Court.