



Supreme Court of Wisconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Patience Drake Roggensack
Chief Justice

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880

Fax (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

Hon. Randy R. Koschnick
Director of State Courts

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

March 2018

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of March 2018 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2017.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued opinions involving 7 cases in March. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

	<u>March 2018</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	7	40
Attorney disciplinary cases	5	19
Judicial disciplinary cases.....	0	0
Bar admission cases.....	0	0
Civil cases	7	18
Criminal cases	0	8

Petitions for Review

A total of 61 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In March, the Supreme Court disposed of 67 petitions for review, of which 9 petitions were granted. The Supreme Court currently has 174 petitions for review pending.

	<u>March 2018</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
Petitions for Review filed	61	403
Civil cases	23	177
Criminal cases.....	38	226

Petition for Review dispositions	67	493
Civil cases (petitions granted).....	23 (6)	229 (25)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	44 (3)	264 (11)

Petitions for Bypass

In March 2018, the Supreme Court received no petitions for bypass and disposed of no petitions for bypass. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has 3 petitions for bypass pending.

	<u>March 2018</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
Petitions for Bypass filed	2	10
Civil cases	1	5
Criminal cases	1	5
Petition for Bypass dispositions.....	1	11
Civil cases (petitions granted).....	0 (0)	4 (1)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	1 (0)	7 (2)

Requests for Certification

During March 2018, the Supreme Court received 3 request for certification and disposed of 1 requests for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has no requests for certification pending.

	<u>March 2018</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
Requests for Certification filed.....	0	<u>2</u>
Civil cases	0	0
Criminal cases	0	2
Request for Certification dispositions.....	3	7
Civil cases (requests granted)	3 (0)	4 (1)
Criminal cases (requests granted)	0 (1)	0 (4)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, there were 6 matters filed within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) and 0 such cases were reopened. The Supreme Court also received 2 petitions for supervisory writ, which asks the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a circuit court to take a certain action in a case. One original actions was filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in “Opinions Issued by the Court” above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 10 regulatory matters and 9 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

<u>Filings</u>	<u>March 2018</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
Attorney discipline (including reopened cases).....	5	33
Judicial discipline.....	0	0
Bar admission.....	1	1
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	2	28
Other (including Original Actions and Certified Questions).	1	3
 <u>Dispositions by Order</u>		
Attorney discipline.....	0	3
Judicial discipline.....	0	0
Bar admission.....	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	0	31
Other (including Original Actions).....	0	5

**DECISIONS BY THE
WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT
OPINIONS ISSUED DURING MARCH 2018**

<u>Docket No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2012AP931-D 2014AP2086-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Richard W. Voss Reinstatement denied. Per Curiam ¹	03/01/2018
2016AP832	Horizon Bank, National Association v. Marshalls Point Retreat LLC Reversed and remanded. <u>Majority Opinion</u> : Bradley, A. W., J. <u>Dissent</u> : Bradley, R. G., J.	03/06/2018
2015AP151586	Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Robert R. Stafsholt Reversed and remanded <u>Majority Opinion</u> : Gableman, J. Abrahamson, J. did not participate.	03/23/2018
2005AP2744-D 2012AP2334-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Patrick Michael Cooper Reinstatement conditionally granted. Per Curiam	03/30/2018
2013AP653-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Wendy Alison Nora License suspended. Per Curiam	03/30/2018

¹ “Per Curiam” means “by the court.” Opinions issued per curiam are handed down by the court as a whole.